## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

IN RE: STRYKER REJUVENATE AND ABG II HIP IMPLANT PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DEIDRE WASHINGTON,

Plaintiff,

v. Civil No. 14-2619 (DWF/BRT)

HOWMEDICA OSTEONICS CORP., d/b/a STRYKER ORTHOPAEDICS, STRYKER CORP., STRYKER SALES CORPORATION and STRYKER IRELAND LIMITED,

Defendants.

MDL No. 13-2441 (DWF/BRT)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE

In management of its docket, on September 12, 2019 the Court entered Pretrial Order No. 42 ("PTO #42") establishing certain mandatory tolling election response obligations on the part of Unrevised Plaintiffs in this multidistrict litigation. (MDL No. 13-2441 (DWF/BRT), Doc. No. [1394]. Pursuant to PTO #42, the response deadline was October 28, 2019. By way of further Order on January 14, 2020, the Court extended the deadline to January 31, 2020 for those Unrevised Plaintiffs who had failed to respond by the original date, and also noted that failure to respond by the extended date would result in dismissal without prejudice and without further notice of the unresponsive, Unrevised Plaintiff matters. (MDL No. 13-2441 (DWF/BRT), Doc. No. [1566])

In further management of its docket, on January 15, 2020 the Court entered Pretrial Order No. 44 Regarding Pending and Future Requests to Withdraw as Counsel in this MDL ("PTO #44") (MDL No. 13-2441 (DWF/BRT), Doc. No. [1567]). PTO #44 established certain requirements for Requests to Withdraw as counsel in this MDL, including the requirement that counsel seeking to withdraw in an Unrevised Plaintiff MDL lawsuit advise (or make good faith effort to advise) the Unrevised Plaintiff of the tolling election response obligation and potential dismissal for non-compliance set forth in the Court's September 19, 2019 Order (MDL No. 13-2441 (DWF/BRT), Doc. No. [1394]).

Pursuant to PTO #44, counsel in the above captioned, *Deidre Washington v*.

Howmedica Osteonics Corp., d/b/a Stryker Orthopaedics, Stryker Corp., Stryker Sales

Corporation and Stryker Ireland Limited, matter filed the required notice with the Court

(MDL No. 13-2441 (DWF/BRT); Civil No. 14-2619 (DWF/BRT), Doc. No. [7]). In the

filed notice, counsel explained that they have made multiple attempts through various

forms of communication to discuss the current status of the matter with Plaintiff and to

advise her of her obligation to comply with PTO #44 regarding the mandatory tolling

response for unrevised plaintiffs. They have also confirmed that the communications by

mail have been delivered. Despite these multiple attempts by counsel, Plaintiff has failed

to respond. Accordingly, counsel has asked to withdraw their representation of Plaintiff.

## **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that:

1. The pending motion to withdraw as counsel in the above captioned matter,

Deidre Washington v. Howmedica Osteonics Corp., d/b/a Stryker Orthopaedics, Stryker

Corp., Stryker Sales Corporation and Stryker Ireland Limited, (MDL No. 13-2441

(DWF/BRT); Civil No. 14-2619 (DWF/BRT), Doc. No. [7]), is hereby **GRANTED**; and

2. The Deidre Washington v. Howmedica Osteonics Corp., d/b/a Stryker

Orthopaedics, Stryker Corp., Stryker Sales Corporation and Stryker Ireland Limited,

matter is hereby **DISMISSED** in its entirety **WITHOUT PREJUDICE** and without

costs to any party.

Dated: April 3, 2020

s/Donovan W. Frank
DONOVAN W. FRANK

United States District Judge

3