UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRIC	T OF MINNESOTA
IN RE: STRYKER REJUVENAT AND ABG II HIP IMPLANT	) E ) Case No. 13-MD-2441(DWF/FLN)
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGA	TION )
	) ) ) St. Paul, Minnesota
This Document Relates to All Actions	
UNITED STAT AND <b>MAGISTRA</b>	ONORABLE DONOVAN W. FRANK ES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE TE JUDGE FRANKLIN L. NOEL TRICT COURT MAGISTRATE JUDGE
STATUS CO	NFERENCE PROCEEDINGS
APPEARANCES:	
FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:	
Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel Committee Chairperson:	Meyers & Flowers PETER J. FLOWERS, ESQ. 225 West Wacker Drive, Suite 1515 Chicago, Illinois 60606
Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel	
Committee Members:	Meshbesher & Spence, Ltd. GENEVIEVE M. ZIMMERMAN, ESQ. 1616 Bark Avonue South
Committee Members:	<del>-</del>
Committee Members:  Official Court Reporter:	GENEVIEVE M. ZIMMERMAN, ESQ. 1616 Park Avenue South Minneapolis, Minnesota 55404  JEANNE M. ANDERSON, RMR-RPR
	GENEVIEVE M. ZIMMERMAN, ESQ. 1616 Park Avenue South Minneapolis, Minnesota 55404  JEANNE M. ANDERSON, RMR-RPR Suite 146 U.S. Courthouse 316 North Robert Street
Official Court Reporter:	GENEVIEVE M. ZIMMERMAN, ESQ. 1616 Park Avenue South Minneapolis, Minnesota 55404  JEANNE M. ANDERSON, RMR-RPR Suite 146 U.S. Courthouse

1	APPEARANCES (Continued):
2	For the Plaintiffs:
3	Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel Committee Members (Continued):
4	Zimmerman Reed, PLLP
5	CHARLES S. ZIMMERMAN, ESQ. 1100 IDS Center
6	80 S. 8th Street Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-2015
7	
8	Levin Papantonio Thomas Mitchell Rafferty & Proctor, P.A.
9	BEN GORDON, JR., ESQ. 316 S. Baylen Street, Suite 600 P.O. Box 12308
11	Pensacola, Florida 32591
12	Cory Watson Crowder & DeGaris, PC ANNESLEY H. DeGARIS, ESQ. 2131 Magnolia Avenue South
13	Birmingham, Alabama 35205
14	Leiff, Cabraser,
15	Heimann & Bernstein, LLP WENDY R. FLEISHMAN, ESQ.
16	250 Hudson Street, Eighth Floor New York, New York 10013
17	New Tolly New Toll Tools
18	Weisman, Kennedy & Berris Co., L.P.A.
19	ERIC KENNEDY, ESQ.
20	101 W. Prospect Avenue Midland Building, Suite 1600 Cleveland, Ohio 44115
21	Cleverand, Onio 44113
22	Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel:
23	Liaison Counsel to the
24	District of Minnesota: Meshbesher & Spence, Ltd. ANTHONY J. NEMO, ESQ.
25	1616 Park Avenue South Minneapolis, Minnesota 55404

1	APPEARANCES (Continued):
2	Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel:
3	
4	Liaison Counsel to The State Courts: Bernheim & Dolinsky, LLC JESSE N. BERNHEIM, ESQ.
5	8151 Peters Road, Suite 3200 Plantation, Florida 33324
6	
7	FOR THE DEFENDANTS:
8	
9	Defendants' Lead Counsel:
10	Gibbons, P.C. KIM M. CATULLO, ESQ.
11	One Pennsylvania Plaza 37th Floor
12	New York, New York 10119-3701
13	Defendants' Liaison Counsel:
14	Stinson Leonard Street LLP
15	TIMOTHY P. GRIFFIN, ESQ. 150 S. 5th Street, Suite 2300
16	Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## PROCEEDINGS

## IN OPEN COURT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

THE HONORABLE JUDGE FRANK: You may all be seated, thank you. And for all of those of you not just in the courtroom but listening on the phone, my apologies for the late start. I again have failed at being uncharacteristically brief in our conference in Chambers. So, obviously, the lawyers were all there promptly, but the delay rests on my shoulders, not on theirs.

Before we begin, why don't we have first introductions of Plaintiffs' counsel and whoever else you would like to introduce. And I will go over to Defense counsel so everybody knows who precisely is here.

MS. ZIMMERMAN: Thank you, Your Honor, Genevieve Zimmerman for the Plaintiffs.

MR. GORDON: Ben Gordon for Plaintiffs.

MR. FLOWERS: Pete Flowers for the Plaintiffs.

MS. FLEISHMAN: Wendy Fleishman for the Plaintiffs.

MR. DeGARIS: Annesley DeGaris for the Plaintiffs.

MR. NEMO: Tony Nemo for the Plaintiffs.

MS. ZIMMERMAN: Charles Zimmerman for the Plaintiffs.

MR. BERNHEIM: Jesse Bernheim for the Plaintiffs.

THE HONORABLE JUDGE FRANK: If we move to Defense

1 counsel? 2 MR. GRIFFIN: Tim Griffin for the Defendants, Your 3 Honors. 4 MS. CATULLO: Kim Catullo for the Defendants. 5 THE HONORABLE JUDGE FRANK: And I will just 6 indicate for the record that we had an opportunity as we do 7 at these conferences to meet in Chambers. 8 And with that, unless you have anything at this 9 time, Judge Noel, we will proceed with the Joint Report and 10 Agenda for today's date. And we can begin with the agenda 11 item number one, the settlement update. And I see both 12 counsel are approaching the podium. And I guess there has 13 been some complaints filed that we didn't schedule this so a 14 group of you could go to the State Fair this year. 15 MR. FLOWERS: Ms. Catullo was complaining about 16 that, Your Honor. 17 THE HONORABLE JUDGE FRANK: Well, she was not the 18 only one. A group of hands just went up over there and 19 there were some others at the table. So, I quess we will 20 have to keep that in mind for future references. 21 MR. FLOWERS: So Your Honors, this is Pete Flowers 2.2 on behalf of the plaintiffs. I will just briefly make a 23 couple of statements and then pass it over. I think from 24 the settlement perspective, things have gone well.

Obviously, the super majority of eligible people

25

are in the settlement. The super majority of people that are in the settlement have been paid. There continues to be some lien follow-up work, as with every litigation. But, all in all, we have been able to obtain things on a timely basis.

2.2

MS. CATULLO: Your Honor, this is Kim Catullo. I would just confirm as Mr. Flowers said, everything has gone quite smoothly. And I would say over 95 percent of the 95 percent have actually been funded by Stryker.

Also, last Wednesday we had the Enhancement

Program officially close. In fact, some of those payments
have even started, or those award reports have started, as
well. So, things are really moving very smoothly from the
settlement standpoint.

THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE JUDGE NOEL: One question I forgot to raise when we were in Chambers is -- I can't remember what it is called, the CMS -- CSM, the Medicare -- Corporation for Medicare Services. Is that going smoothly, as well?

MR. FLOWERS: Yes. The Medicare end of it is going very smoothly, Your Honor. There was an agreement struck with Medicare where payments were being made for each revision. And then there are payments that are associated with re-revisions, et cetera. That is very smooth.

THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE JUDGE NOEL: Okay.

MS. CATULLO: Your Honor, one other point on the settlement as just related is that dismissals have begun, as Your Honor probably knows there have been hundreds of dismissals already in the MDL. The process is ongoing in New Jersey and it is also starting up in some of the other venues like Florida and Michigan and others. So, that has been going very smoothly, as well.

2.2

Under the settlement agreement, as soon as the payments are funded, we can start dismissing those cases, so that is going on, as well.

THE HONORABLE JUDGE FRANK: One thing that isn't necessarily directly related to the settlement update, but since we touched on it in Chambers, I know as we sit here today, we have -- "we" meaning the MDL here have in the nature of 70 plus or minus a few cases of opt-outs.

Do we have an estimate for New Jersey, Florida or any of the other states? I can't hold you to it, but just an approximate number?

MS. CATULLO: Yeah, it is somewhat of a moving number, but it is currently in the fifties in New Jersey, and somewhere in the high forties to low fifties in Florida in terms of what -- when we say opt-outs, we mean those folks who were eligible for the program, counted in the registration, and who did not come into the program.

It does not count the people who may have filed

subsequently, or who had a revision that doesn't qualify because it is after a certain cutoff.

2.2

THE HONORABLE JUDGE FRANK: And if we could move on to the discovery status?

MR. FLOWERS: Yes, Your Honors. As you know, the discovery stay was over as of September 1st, and we are in the process of conducting discovery. Just as an overview, the MDL has provided Stryker with updated or new interrogatories and requests to produce, attempting to streamline what we are seeking.

We also have agreed to deposition dates on four deponents, a fifth coming shortly. Those will take place sometime in November. We have done third-party discovery that we are awaiting for some documents on from them, as well.

We have provided additional custodians that we are seeking all of their custodial files, which they have agreed to, to work for and provide, as well as an update on some additional documents on the original 26 custodians that were produced some time ago.

So, over the next several months, there is going to be a significant amount of discovery conducted in this case. There are opt-outs. There are cases that are people that weren't qualified that are in your Court that we are intending to push forward with.

MS. CATULLO: Your Honor, that is true. We have come up with a plan, working together and cooperatively on that. We, as you know, do believe that there should be coordination nationally on this. There have been some places where that has worked.

2.2

I think, particularly, we are hopeful that the depositions, it will work out in that way. At least we seem to be going that way at this point. But, as we talked about before, we do believe written discovery should also be the subject of coordination.

I think there are efforts that are being made on that, but we just haven't been successful at this point.

So, that is just one of the other sticking points out there.

THE HONORABLE JUDGE FRANK: Well, and I can indicate this, and I touched on this to some extent in Chambers, not unlike other MDLs, at least, that I have had, and I will be doing it again this week.

In the last month I have talked to Judge Henning,

Judge Hafele -- Judge Henning from the Broward County

Courthouse in Lauderdale. Judge Donald -- is it Hafele -- I

always mispronounce it for my court reporter, H-a-f-e-l-e,

from West Palm Beach. I have talked to Judge Janet Boes

from Saginaw, Michigan. And I have talked to Judge Rita

Miller from Los Angeles, and she just has one case.

And not unlike other MDLs, the reason I called

when I did and I said I would be reaching out after this conference again is, as you already mentioned, the stay for discovery that had concluded at the end of September when I called, and this isn't -- it is the first time I have talked to Judge Boes and Judge Miller. It is not the first time I talked to Judge Henning and Judge Hafele. Of course, the message was the same to each: Let's try to coordinate discovery because, one, as we all know, that is the single biggest criticism of these national cases is that there is so much more money spent and delay by each individual party. And it is supposed to save everybody time and money. And I guess the phrase is economies of scale.

2.2

And then I also indicated that we meant what we said here, that it isn't our way or the highway. And then we meant what we said in the Order for the Stay of Discovery saying. Let's try to coordinate it. That is what people have a right to expect, for a couple of reasons.

We also put in the Order, for those cases that truly look like either they need discovery to see if they should settle it if they are legitimate outliers, or they have decided to move on to trial, then as that Order said when we stay discovery, we will expedite the discovery and we will give calendar priority to discovery and trial date issues.

And as I said in Chambers -- and that is exactly

what I said in prior MDLs, so we can coordinate this with one another. I said, so let's do our best to do that, working with each other on these cases and keep the communication lines open, just not for the Judges, but the lawyers across the country.

2.2

And so I reached out, and I will do that again.

And I am hopeful that we can just have a real coordinated effort here to save time and money for everyone, as well as coordinate times and dates. So, I don't know if Judge Noel you have anything else on that issue?

THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE JUDGE NOEL: Nothing else, no.

THE HONORABLE JUDGE FRANK: So absent comments by counsel or inquiry of the Court, we can move on to the registration process, if you wish.

MS. CATULLO: Your Honor, I will speak to that.

As you know, we did have a registration process early on.

It was one of the first things we did as part of the settlement program, really to just know what we call the universe that was out there.

Now that we have gone through this program, that registration process officially closed in December of 2014. We have had, from time to time, requests for people to update or to be registered. We were able to do that when the base program was open. Once that closed, we really have

not been able to let anyone in because it would sort of mess up the data.

2.2

Now I think everyone is in agreement that we need to just update the registration. It will really assist the Court in terms of knowing what the case system -- what is actually out there on the docket, and also the parties to know what is out there.

So, we are proposing that we will probably have an order that is very similar to what the Court entered back in November. That will just provide the updates for people who registered, but their status has somehow changed. So, if someone, for example, registered as an unrevised, and since has had a revision, they will have an opportunity to update their status to a revised patient.

And then also for people who want to register who just didn't make it before the cutoff, they will be allowed to register, as well. So, we are thinking about for that, an order that would open that up for sometime in late October and early November and probably run through the beginning of the year, of the new year.

THE HONORABLE JUDGE FRANK: Mr. Flowers?

MR. FLOWERS: We are in agreement with that, Your Honor, information is key for all of us.

THE HONORABLE JUDGE FRANK: And unless Judge Noel has something, I know we discussed that at some length in

1 Chambers, so I think we have a good grasp of not just its status, but also an updated explanation on the registration 2 3 process, itself. 4 THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE JUDGE NOEL: I have 5 nothing else. THE HONORABLE JUDGE FRANK: All right. And then 6 7 the fourth and final agenda item, except for perhaps unless 8 there are other issues, because I am not trying to cut 9 anybody off. 10 As I mentioned in Chambers, we had had the Motion 11 to Authorize Payment of Common Benefit Expenses For Lien 12 Resolution on QSF Administration Services. Pretty much all 13 parties were copied on that and I have received no negative 14 feedback or objections, so we can move the -- move that 15 along. 16 I would intend on doing the Order, to the extent 17 it is relevant, if I don't do it today, it will be tomorrow. 18 It will become Pretrial Order No. 31 in the case. So, 19 unless either of you have a comment on that, Mr. Flowers? 20 MR. FLOWERS: No, Your Honor, the only thing I 21 would say is just to make it clear, this is a motion that 2.2 deals with Providio, the lien resolution players' --23 THE HONORABLE JUDGE FRANK: Right. 24 MR. FLOWERS: -- charges, as well as using some of 25 the common benefit to pay some of those charges.

1 MS. CATULLO: And Your Honor, for the Defendants, 2 we have not had a role in that. So, from our perspective, 3 we are not going to object. 4 THE HONORABLE JUDGE FRANK: Did you have anything? 5 THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE JUDGE NOEL: I had one other question. I'm sorry, if I could go back to the 6 7 settlement update? When Ms. Catullo talks about Stryker 8 funding the settlements, is that synonymous with actually 9 paying the Plaintiffs? Or is there another step that has to 10 happen between a settlement being funded and money actually 11 transferring to Plaintiff and their counsel? 12 MR. FLOWERS: Your Honor, there is an extra step 13 in there and that is we -- there's obligations under the 14 Master Settlement Agreement in terms of liens, resolving 15 liens. 16 THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE JUDGE NOEL: Which is 17 your responsibility, the Plaintiffs' lawyers? 18 MR. FLOWERS: Correct. 19 MS. CATULLO: The money is out. 95 percent of the 20 95 percent, Stryker has paid the money over to the 21 Plaintiffs' escrow agent. 2.2 THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE JUDGE NOEL: Thank you. 23 THE HONORABLE JUDGE FRANK: And I think the lien 24 process is moving along? 25 MR. FLOWERS: It is moving along. If we could

1 eradicate it in the United States, Your Honor, it would be 2 helpful to all these things, but obviously we cannot. 3 we hire companies to usually handle it. They handle most of 4 it. And it is just a slow process at times. 5 THE HONORABLE JUDGE FRANK: Well, as some of the 6 lawyers in the room know and some on the phone that were in 7 my Guidant case, we had more than an issue or two on that. 8 And actually, frankly speaking, persuading the Defense to 9 let the Federal Court handle the probate and some of that 10 lien issue and starting from scratch in the State Court and 11 costing lots of money, lots of delay, which we eventually I 12 think got it resolved, but it was an issue for a time, so to 13 speak. 14 Are there other issues we want to address at this 15 time other than setting the next status conference? We will 16 let people kind of confer, there. 17 (Discussion off the record.) 18 THE HONORABLE JUDGE FRANK: I will ask first if 19 there are any other issues. 20 MR. FLOWERS: I don't think so, Your Honor. Ι 21 think we are good from the Plaintiffs' perspective.

MS. CATULLO: All good, Defendants.

2.2

23

24

25

THE HONORABLE JUDGE FRANK: What about tentatively or otherwise setting a date and how soon you would like to get back together? And if you want us to set it in northern

Minnesota when it is 20 or 30 below zero, we could probably arrange that, but I have an idea that that probably won't be a request, joint or otherwise.

MR. FLOWERS: Your Honor, could we -- is it possible if we discuss this and then contacted you?

THE HONORABLE JUDGE FRANK: Certainly.

2.2

MR. FLOWERS: Because we are trying to figure out what works best.

THE HONORABLE JUDGE FRANK: Certainly. Because on the one hand I know there are a number of things you've each said you are reaching out -- I will be doing the same. And obviously, we don't want to have an unnecessary get-together, or too soon.

And just so the people listening and other people who weren't at counsel table in the courtroom, I would like to think most people understand this. I mean, I believe that the lawyers believe that if there are issues, they don't have to wait for the next conference, they can pick up the phone and we set up a short telephone conference. If you don't get back, we wait until everybody is back in town.

And of course, anything we do on orders, we try to get them out on the website. So, no -- yeah, we can just sit tight. And when we kind of take a look at it and if we haven't heard anything in the next few weeks, we might have Ms. Schaffer just reach out to you to see if you have got

any dates in mind.

2.2

And I have already agreed, as I said back in Chambers, if I learned of anything when I reach out again to the -- I said four judges that doesn't -- I am usually more in contact with Judge Martinotti, that is the fifth judge.

And as I said back in Chambers, some of you knew this, some didn't, he had his confirmation hearing last week before the Judiciary Committee at the U.S. Senate. So, we stay in touch.

But, if I learn anything -- because I will be reaching out to each of these Judges this week again to give them an update, and again see what we can do to maximum coordination for all of the remaining cases. I will let you know what, if anything, if there's any changes or any updates. And I would hope that you would each do the same, as long as the other party knows I am being contacted.

So, anything else at this time, then, for Plaintiffs?

MR. FLOWERS: No, Your Honor.

THE HONORABLE JUDGE FRANK: For the Defense?

MS. CATULLO: No, Your Honor, thank you.

MR. FLOWERS: Thank you.

THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE JUDGE NOEL: Nothing further from me. Thank you very much, Judge.

THE HONORABLE JUDGE FRANK: We are adjourned.

1	Thanks everybody for coming to town again. I'm sorry we
2	couldn't coordinate it with the State Fair. Apparently
3	there were some disgruntled parties because of that, so we
4	will try to do better next time.
5	THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE JUDGE NOEL: Maybe the
6	Winter Carnival.
7	THE HONORABLE JUDGE FRANK: The Winter Carnival
8	probably won't do it for most of you, so no offense. So, we
9	are adjourned. Thank you.
10	(Adjournment.)
11	
12	
13	
14	* * *
15	
16	
17	I, Jeanne M. Anderson, certify that the foregoing
18	is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in
19	the above-entitled matter.
20	
21	
22	Certified by: <u>s/ Jeanne M. Anderson</u> Jeanne M. Anderson, RMR-RPR
23	Official Court Reporter
24	
25	