UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

IN RE: Stryker Rejuvenate and ABG II Hip Implant Products Liability Litigation	MDL No. 13-2441 (DWF/FLN)	
This Document Relates to:		
[Insert Plaintiff Name(s) Here]	SHORT FORM COMPLAINT AND	
Plaintiff(s),	JURY TRIAL DEMAND	
VS.		
HOWMEDICA OSTEONICS d/b/a STRYKER ORTHOPAEDICS, STRYKER CORP., STRYKER SALES CORPORATION and STRYKER IRELAND LIMITED,		
Defendants.		
1. Plaintiff(s),	, state(s) and bring(s)	
this civil action in MDL No. 2441, entitled	In Re: Stryker Rejuvenate and ABG II Hin	
ans ervir detion in MBB 110. 2111, endiced	The Recognition of the second	
Implant Products Liability Litigation. Plain	tiff(s) is/are filing this Short Form Complaint	
as permitted by Pretrial Order #10 dated Janu	uary 23, 2014 of this Court.	
PARTIES, JURISDIC	TION AND VENUE	
2. Plaintiff,	, is a resident and citizen of the	
State of and claims damage	es as set forth below.	
3. Plaintiff's Spouse,	, is a resident and	

citizen of the State of, and claims damages as set forth belo	, and claims damages as set forth below.	
[Cross out Spousal Claim if not applicable.]		
4. Venue of this case is appropriate in the United States District Cou	ırt,	
District of Plaintiff states that but for the Order permitti	ng	
directly filing into the District of Minnesota pursuant to Pretrial Order No. 4, Plaint	iff	
would have filed in the United States District Court, District of	_·	
Therefore, Plaintiff respectfully requests that at the time of transfer of this action back	to	
the trial court for further proceedings that this case be transferred to the above reference	ed	
District Court.		
5. Plaintiff brings this action [check the applicable designation]:		
On behalf of himself/herself;		
In a representative capacity as the of the having	been	
duly appointed as the by theCourt of	A	
copy of the Letters of Administration for a wrongful death claim	is	
annexed hereto if such letters are required for the commencement	ıt of	
such a claim by the Probate, Surrogate or other appropriate court	of	
the jurisdiction of the decedent. [Cross out if not applicable.]		
FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS		
Allegations as to Right-Side Implant/Explant Surgery(ies): [Cross out if not applications as to applications as the applications as the applications are applications as the applications as the applications are applications.	<u>ıble]</u>	
6. Plaintiff was implanted with a Rejuvenate / ABG II (cross out the one th	ıat is	
inapplicable) Modular hip stem on his/her right hip on or about (date), at the	e	
(medical center and address), in . by Dr [Cross out if no	ot .	

applicable.]
7. Plaintiff had the right hip stem at issue explanted on, at
(medical center and address) by Dr [Cross out if not
applicable.]
8. Plaintiff will have the right hip stem at issue explanted on or about
, at (medical center and address) by I
[Cross out if not applicable.]
9. Plaintiff has not yet scheduled a surgery for explantation of the right hip ste
at issue. [Cross out if not applicable.]
Allegations as to Left-Side Implant/Explant Surgery(ies): [Cross out if not applicable
10. Plaintiff was implanted with a Rejuvenate / ABG II (cross out the one than
inapplicable) Modular hip stem on his/her left hip on or about (date), at the
(medical center and address), in, by Dr [Cross out if no
applicable.]
11. Plaintiff had the left hip stem at issue explanted on, at
(medical center and address) by Dr [Cross out if not applicable.]
12. Plaintiff will have the left hip stem at issue explanted on or about
, at (medical center and address) by Dr.
[Cross out if not applicable.]
13. Plaintiff has not yet scheduled a surgery for explantation of the left hip
implant at issue. [Cross out if not applicable.]

ALLEGATIONS AS TO INJURIES

14. (a) Plai	ntiff claims damages as a result of (check all that are applicable):
	INJURY TO HERSELF/HIMSELF
	INJURY TO THE PERSON REPRESENTED
	WRONGFUL DEATH
	SURVIVORSHIP ACTION
	ECONOMIC LOSS
(b) Plai	ntiff's spouse claims damages as a result of (check all that are
applicable): [C	Cross out if not applicable.]
	LOSS OF SERVICES
	LOSS OF CONSORTIUM
15. Plaintiff	has suffered injuries as a result of implantation of the Device(s) a

- 15. Plaintiff has suffered injuries as a result of implantation of the Device(s) at issue manufactured by the Defendants as shall be fully set forth in Plaintiff's anticipated Amended Complaint, as well as in Plaintiff's Fact Sheet and other responsive documents provided to the Defendant and are incorporated by reference herein.
- 16. Plaintiff has suffered injuries as a result of the explantation of the Device(s) at issue manufactured by the Defendants as shall be fully set forth in Plaintiff's anticipated Amended Complaint, as well as in Plaintiff's Fact Sheet and other responsive documents provided to the Defendant and are incorporated by reference herein. [Cross out if not applicable.]
- 17. Defendants, by their actions or inactions, proximately caused the injuries to Plaintiff(s).

- 18. Plaintiff(s) could not have known that the injuries he/she suffered were as a result of a defect in the Device(s) at issue until after the date the Device was recalled from the market and the Plaintiff(s) came to learn of the recall.
- 19. In addition, Plaintiff could not have known that he/she was injured by excessive levels of chromium and cobalt until after the date he/she had his/her blood drawn and he/she was advised of the results of said blood-work and the fact that those blood work abnormalities were attributable to a defect in the Device(s) at issue.

CASE-SPECIFIC ALLEGATIONS AND THEORIES OF RECOVERY

The following claims and allegations are asserted by Plaintiff(s) and are

20.

herein adopted by reference (check all that are applicable):

COUNT I - NEGLIGENCE;

COUNT II - NEGLIGENCE PER SE;

COUNT III - STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY DEFECTIVE DESIGN;

COUNT IV - STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY MANUFACTURING DEFECT;

COUNT V - STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY - FAILURE
TO WARN;

COUNT VI - BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY;

COUNT VII - BREACH OF WARRANTY AS TO
MERCHANTABILITY;

COUNT VIII - BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTIES;

COUNT IX - VIOLATION OF MINNESOTA DECEPTIVE ACTS AND PRACTICES, UNFAIR TRADE PRACTICES,

		CONSUMER PROTECTION, MERCHANDISING PRACTICES AND FALSE ADVERTISING ACTS			
		COUNT X – VIOLATION OF CONSUMER FRAUD AND/OR UNFAIR AND DECEPTIVE TRADE PRACTICES UNDER STATE LAW;			
		COUNT XI - NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION			
		COUNT XII - LOSS OF CONSORTIUM			
		COUNT XIII – UNJUST ENRICHMENT			
		COUNT XIV – WRONGFUL DEATH			
In a	ddition to the a	above, Plaintiff(s) assert the following additional causes of action			
under appli	icable state law	/: 			
	PRAYER FOR RELIEF				
WHEREFO	ORE, Plaintiff((s) pray for judgment against Defendants as follows:			
1.	For comper	nsatory damages requested and according to proof;			
2.	For all appl action;	icable statutory damages of the state whose laws will govern this			
3.	For an awar	rd of attorneys' fees and costs;			

For prejudgment interest and costs of suit;

For restitution and disgorgement of profits; and,

4.

5.

	JURY DEMAND
	Plaintiff(s) hereby demand(s) a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.
Date:	Respectfully submitted,
	BY:

6.

For such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

[INSERT SIGNATURE BLOCK FOR

PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL]