1	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
2	DISTRICT	OF MINNESOTA
3		
4	IN RE: STRYKER REJUVENATE AND ABG II HIP IMPLANT	) ) Case No. 13-MD-2441(DWF/FLN) )
5	PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	) )
6 7		) ) ) St. Paul, Minnesota
8	This Document Relates to All Actions	
9		)
0		
1		<b>BLE DONOVAN W. FRANK</b> DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
2		NORABLE FRANKLIN L. NOEL CCT COURT MAGISTRATE JUDGE
3	STATUS CONFER	RENCE PROCEEDINGS
:	APPEARANCES :	
	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:	
	Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel	
		vers & Flowers TER J. FLOWERS, ESQ. 5 West Wacker Drive, Suite 1515
		Lcago, Illinois 60606
)	Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel Committee Members: Zin	nmerman Reed
)	GENEVIE	NEVIEVE M. ZIMMERMAN, ESQ. 00 IDS Center
L	80	S. 8th Street nneapolis, Minnesota 55402-2015
2		ANNE M. ANDERSON, RMR-RPR
3	Sui 316	te 146 U.S. Courthouse 5 North Robert Street
4	St.	. Paul, Minnesota 55101
5	Proceedings recorded by m transcript produced by comput	

1

1 APPEARANCES (Continued): 2 For the Plaintiffs: 3 Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel Committee Members (Continued): 4 Levin Papantonio Thomas Mitchell 5 Rafferty & Proctor, P.A. BEN GORDON, ESQ. 316 S. Baylen Street, Suite 600 6 P.O. Box 12308 7 Pensacola, Florida 32591 8 Cory Watson Crowder & DeGaris 9 ANNESLEY H. DeGARIS, ESQ. 2131 Magnolia Avenue South 10 Birmingham, Alabama 35205 11 Leiff, Cabraser, 12 Heimann & Bernstein, LLP WENDY R. FLEISHMAN, ESQ. 13 250 Hudson Street, Eighth Floor New York, New York 10013 14 15 Weisman, Kennedy & Berris Co., LPA ERIC KENNEDY, ESQ. 16 1600 Midland Building 101 Prospect Avenue West 17 Cleveland, Ohio 44115 18 Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel: 19 Liaison Counsel to the 20 District of Minnesota: Meshbesher & Spence, Ltd. ANTHONY J. NEMO, ESQ. 21 1616 Park Avenue South Minneapolis, Minnesota 55404 2.2 Liaison Counsel to 23 The State Courts: Kelly Bernheim & Dolinsky, LLC JESSE BERNHEIM, ESQ. 24 8151 Peters Road, Suite 3200 Plantation, Florida 33324 25

1 APPEARANCES (Continued): 2 For the Plaintiffs (Continued): 3 Plaintiffs' Steering Committee Members: 4 NastLaw, LLC 5 DIANE NAST, ESQ. 1101 Market Street, Suite 2801 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107 6 7 Hare, Wynn, Newell & Newton, LLP 8 DON MCKENNA, ESQ. 2025 Third Avenue North, Suite 800 9 Birmingham, Alabama 35203 10 For the Plaintiffs: 11 Kershaw Cutter & Ratinoff, LLP STUART C. TALLEY, ESQ. 12 401 West Avenue Sacramento, California 95864 13 14 NastLaw, LLC DANIEL NAST GALLUCCI, ESQ. 15 1101 Market Street, Suite 2801 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107 16 17 18 19 20 21 2.2 23 24 25

APPEARANCES (Continued): FOR THE DEFENDANTS: Defendants' Lead Counsel: Sedgwick Law RAFAEL A. CAMPILLO, ESQ. KAREN E. WOODWARD, ESQ. 801 S. Figueroa Street, 19th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017-5556 Defendants' Liaison Counsel: Leonard, Street and Deinard TIMOTHY P. GRIFFIN, ESQ. 150 S. 5th Street, Suite 2300 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 2.2 

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	IN OPEN COURT
3	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Thank you.
4	You may all be seated. And for all of you who have been
5	patiently waiting since 9:00, the culprit is here. If there
6	was the meeting went over all of the attorneys were in
7	chambers exactly when they agreed to be. And so, it was
8	probably some of my discussion with them not, of course,
9	Judge Noel that carried it over.
10	Why don't we for the record, there is an
11	agenda. But, just so the record is clear, why don't we have
12	the respective lawyers seated at each counsel table note
13	their presence for the record? And then what we will do as
14	we go through the agenda, depending on who is making any
15	presentation or commenting on an agenda item, we will just
16	have you both for the benefit of my Court Reporter and
17	for those on the phone so they know who's speaking.
18	And the other thing is, in the event you're
19	speaking, it'll be mostly from the podium. But, if you're
20	speaking from counsel table, unless you speak as I said
21	last time directly into the microphone, it's very
22	difficult if even possible for the individuals
23	listening in on the telephone to hear what's being said.
24	So why don't we start with the Plaintiffs' counsel
25	table and people can introduce themselves and in what

1 capacity they appear. 2 MR. FLOWERS: Good morning, Your Honors. 3 Pete Flowers on behalf of the Plaintiffs' Steering 4 Committee. 5 MS. FLEISHMAN: Good morning, Your Honors, Wendy Fleishman on behalf of the Plaintiffs' Steering 6 7 Committee. MS. GENEVIEVE ZIMMERMAN: Good morning, 8 9 Your Honors. Genevieve Zimmerman for the LCC. 10 MR. GORDON: Good morning, Your Honors, Ben Gordon on behalf of the LCC. 11 12 MR. KENNEDY: Good morning, Your Honors. Eric Kennedy on behalf of the Plaintiffs. 13 14 MR. DeGARIS: Good morning, Your Honors. Annesley DeGaris on behalf of the Plaintiffs. 15 16 MR. NEMO: Good morning, Your Honors. Tony Nemo here for the Plaintiffs. 17 18 MR. BERNHEIM: Good morning, Your Honors. Jesse Bernheim on behalf of the Plaintiffs' State Court 19 20 Liaison. THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Shall we move 21 2.2 over to defense counsel? 23 MS. WOODWARD: Good morning, Your Honors. Karen Woodward on behalf of the Defendants. 24 25 MR. CAMPILLO: Good morning, Your Honors.

1	Ralph Campillo on behalf of Defendants.
2	MR. GRIFFIN: Good morning, Your Honors.
3	Tim Griffin on behalf of the Defendants.
4	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Well, I would
5	like to welcome everybody. And I do apologize in the event
6	it starts snowing if you're not leaving town earlier than
7	later, if you've come in for this
8	THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE JUDGE NOEL: Federal
9	Judges are responsible for a lot of things, but I don't
10	think it's the snow.
11	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Or to use the
12	words of a couple of my five daughters, when I came on the
13	Federal Bench in 1998, "Dad, we don't think this Federal
14	Judgeship is that big a thing because you can't even get us
15	decent tickets at the Target Center for a concert." That
16	was true. Was then, and is now.
17	And Brenda, before I forget, I have about eight or
18	nine copies that Becky made, but I promised the lawyers
19	that well, here, I'll just give her the unstapled one.
20	If Becky could do and she's probably listening back
21	there another ten copies? It's a letter I mentioned in
22	chambers that I had forgot I sent out to 24 State Judges
23	back in the Guidant case kind of explaining our interest in
24	coordination, and a letter I had sent back in February of
25	2006. I suspect it was at the good advice of the lawyers

back then, not my own idea.

1

-	back chen, not my own raca.
2	And I promised I would give each of them a copy so
3	they can review it, and say: Well, we would like you to
4	send something like this, or address these issues once we
5	identify all the State Courts, in addition to some of the
6	obvious ones, like New Jersey, Florida and some of the other
7	states.
8	THE CLERK: Very good. May I make a suggestion?
9	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Yes.
10	THE CLERK: Could you turn your microphone that
11	will be better.
12	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Thank you.
13	Were you singing into this, Brenda?
14	THE CLERK: There was no singing.
15	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Why don't we
16	have a joint agenda consistent with the Orders that have
17	been entered.
18	And in addition, we'll be discussing and just
19	confirming in the courtroom a submission or agreed upon
20	telephone conference next week.
21	As I mentioned to the lawyers in chambers, much
22	like other MDL's, at least, that we've covered here, we try
23	to give access to the lawyers for an on-the-record telephone
24	conference. So if an issue is coming up so the next
25	conference is set for December 19th, and there's some

1	issues, so we can move on down the road; that absent some
2	agreement on a couple of the issues and we'll put those on
3	the record today, we will have a telephone conference
4	perhaps Wednesday next week and get a ruling, likely during
5	the conference or within a day of the conference,
6	understanding it's Thanksgiving week. But, we'll agree on
7	that for next week. Then if it is needed, we have it. So,
8	we can move on down the road on any unresolved issues that
9	will help kind of move this along.
10	So, with that in mind, we can proceed with an
11	update on the cases filed in the MDL. Mr. Flowers?
12	MR. FLOWERS: Good morning again, Your Honors,
13	Pete Flowers.
14	As of last evening, according to our count, there
15	are 376 cases filed in the MDL. From our conversations with
16	colleagues around the country, we expect in the next 30 days
17	that there will be a significant number of additional
18	filings to come and then a significant number thereafter.
19	So, we suspect that in a short period of time, there will be
20	over four digits of cases filed in the MDL.
21	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: All right.
22	MR. FLOWERS: The second issue on the agenda,
23	Your Honor, was on service, both the agreement we have and
24	the issue we have.
25	And actually, Ms. Zimmerman is going to deal with

1	that, as well. So, I'll pass the microphone to her.
2	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Thank you.
3	MR. FLOWERS: Thank you.
4	MS. WOODWARD: Your Honor I'm sorry,
5	Karen Woodward. We did bring with us our standard map and
6	case count by Plaintiffs' firm of cases in the MDL if you're
7	interested in having it and I've brought some extra copies.
8	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: I would be
9	very interested.
10	MS. WOODWARD: May I approach, Your Honor?
11	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Yes.
12	What we may well do, absent an objection, is we'll
13	work out whether it's best to get it e-mailed to us or scan
14	it. And we'll put it up on the website, as well.
15	Ms. Zimmerman?
16	MS. ZIMMERMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Just a
17	brief update on service. We know, particularly given the
18	number of complaints filed thus far and the number we expect
19	in the coming weeks and certainly months, that this is going
20	to be an important administrative issue to get agreement on.
21	I do believe that we have an agreement in
22	principle for an e-mail service program on HOC, the
23	Howmedica Osteonics Corporation. And that at this point the
24	dispute is whether or not there will be a similar such
25	agreement entered into with respect to Stryker Corporation

1 and Stryker Sales, some of the other Defendants here. 2 So, as we indicated in chambers, we will continue to meet and confer with defense counsel on that, and suspect 3 and plan to have written submissions provided to the Court 4 5 for an immediate, or very quick, turnaround hopefully in advance of the December 19th status conference. 6 7 THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Now, was this 8 one of the issues that all sides were hoping could be 9 addressed absent an agreement next week, I believe? 10 MS. GENEVIEVE ZIMMERMAN: I believe so, 11 Your Honor. 12 THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: So, maybe we 13 can agree, and maybe defense counsel can come up to the 14 podium, as well. And maybe everybody can just stay there. 15 And then we can, absent an agreement, we can agree on a time 16 right now, if needed. We'll have an on-the-record telephone 17 conference next week. 18 And I'll hear from defense counsel, if you wish. 19 MS. WOODWARD: Well, Your Honor, two things. 20 First of all, on the service issue, what Ms. Zimmerman 21 stated is correct. We can set up a conference call next 2.2 week and hopefully have an agreement by that time. 23 Also, I just wanted to advise Your Honor that on 24 the issue of service, we've been doing what you do initially 25 in these types of cases. We've been working with the

Plaintiffs, providing them information on the cases that haven't been served and have been out there for a while. I understand that they're going to be contacting Plaintiffs' counsel about getting cases served.

5 Also, the agenda item with respect to pretrial 6 order number 4, which was agenda item 1(a), I just wanted to 7 just briefly comment. This is the direct filing order. We 8 have had a few hiccups, to be expected, some firms who have 9 not complied with that Order. And again, we will be working 10 with the Plaintiffs' counsel to give them the information 11 about the firms that need to maybe fix their complaints and 12 comply with the Order.

MS. ZIMMERMAN: And the LCC has been working with the able help of Tony Nemo, Liaison Counsel here to the District of Minnesota, to reach out to those attorneys who perhaps were not in complete compliance with Pretrial Order No. 4, request that get themselves in line. So, the paperwork should be headed the right direction soon.

19 THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Is there a 20 time, without trying to ruin anybody's holiday plans or 21 anything next week? I will just arbitrarily suggest 22 Wednesday, if needed, next week. Is there a time -- taking 23 into account time zones and so forth, is there a -- one, is 24 Wednesday feasible to have a tentative time and date set 25 now? Or, are one or more of you saying: That's the worst

> JEANNE M. ANDERSON, RMR-RPR (651) 848-1221

12

1	day of the week, Judge, to do it, other than Thursday.
2	THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE JUDGE NOEL: Thursday, so
3	long as it's before the stores open.
4	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: I do mean
5	we're going to be getting together about midnight the night
6	before, so
7	But, does that work?
8	MS. ZIMMERMAN: Wednesday would be great, Your
9	Honor. And certainly, perhaps we don't even need to make
10	written submissions. It may be that we can present argument
11	over the telephone.
12	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Unless
13	somebody wants to, we can work on that; but, we won't
14	require it unless one or more of you say: Well, there's
15	something we want to get to the Court.
16	I see most people nodding their head in the
17	affirmative for Wednesday. If we arbitrarily or otherwise
18	set it to kind of build in the time zones for
19	(Discussion off the record.)
20	What if we set it for 1:00 Central Standard Time?
21	Will that work for everyone?
22	MS. WOODWARD: Would it be possible to set it
23	maybe an hour or two hours earlier, Your Honor, just for
24	travel schedules on Wednesday?
25	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: What about

1	noon, Central Standard Time? That way people cannot eat
2	lunch and be ready for Thursday. Will noon work?
3	MS. ZIMMERMAN: It works for us, Your Honor.
4	MS. WOODWARD: That's fine, Your Honor.
5	Thank you.
6	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: So, we'll set
7	it for noon. And then Brenda Schaffer will be in touch with
8	each of you and we'll set up a we'll figure out the best
9	way to set up a bridge that day. So, but right now we'll
10	tentatively set that for noon next Wednesday. And, of
11	course, if we need it, we have it.
12	And I will have my Court Reporter, obviously
13	available, so we'll have it at noon next Wednesday. All
14	right?
15	MS. ZIMMERMAN: Perfect. Thank you, Your Honor.
16	MS. WOODWARD: Thank you, Your Honor.
17	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Anything else,
18	counsel, on service issues and agreements or on any updates
19	on the Pretrial Order No. 4? For the Plaintiffs?
20	MR. FLOWERS: No, Your Honor.
21	MS. WOODWARD: No, Your Honor.
22	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Absent an
23	objection, I guess we can move on to the all-important issue
24	of current updates on State Court litigation. And then
25	acknowledging that there was a kind of an update with

1 specific reference to Florida sent to me with some of the 2 consolidation down there, separate from any letter I would 3 send out to all State Judges in the country if we do that in 4 the immediate future, I would like to know if it's the 5 expectation or hope of counsel on one or both sides for me to reach out to the Florida Judge. 6 7 I have been -- I think as I said at the last status conference, I exchanged Orders with the New Jersey 8 9 Judge, and also, we probably talked three or four times by 10 telephone. But, we can go down the list here. And then 11 shall we start with Plaintiffs first? 12 MR. BERNHEIM: Good morning, Your Honors. Yeah, 13 right now outside of New Jersey there are cases filed in 14 Illinois, Oregon, Florida and Massachusetts. New Jersey is 15 obviously the oldest litigation --16 THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Right. 17 MR. BERNHEIM: So, I'll start with what's going on 18 over there. 19 It's a multi-county litigation in front of 20 Judge Martinotti. Judge Martinotti has entered 11 Case 21 Management Orders to date. The next case management 2.2 conference is on December 16th. So far they've conducted 23 two 30(b)(6) depositions. And currently, I don't believe 24 that there are any other depositions set. 25 THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Are those the

1	two depositions Ms. Zimmerman was at, I believe, two?
2	MR. BERNHEIM: Yes, Your Honor.
3	As Your Honor knows, Judge Martinotti has been
4	really pushing a mediation program up there from very early
5	on. So, there are eight mediations scheduled between now
6	and December 11th, and then two more in January.
7	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Maybe
8	apologizing to you for interrupting, as I will indicate that
9	I asked in chambers; obviously, I had asked about how the
10	cases were selected. And then I said something I had said
11	at the first status conference, that soon-to-retire
12	Magistrate Judge Boylan is ready, willing and able if we get
13	to that point to take this as one of his first if not his
14	first, he'll be retiring happy for him, sad for us in
15	January of early January this year.
16	I've talked somewhat to Judge Martinotti about
17	that process, but we'll do whatever is needed. If it seems
18	like the right thing to do, once we discuss where we're at
19	with discovery and other issues, if there seems to be some
20	interest in coordinating early settlement discussions, I
21	guess we'll leave that for another day right now. But, that
22	sometimes is appropriate and sometimes premature; but, we
23	will see. All right?
24	And I think I interrupted you.
25	MR. BERNHEIM: No problem. Thank you, Your Honor.

1 There's rolling discovery going on. About 2,000 2 documents have been produced thus far. I understand there's 3 going to be another document drop, I believe, next week on 4 November 27th with some significant documents being 5 produced. The Protective Order up there has been discussed. 6 7 There's a preliminary one that's been agreed to, but they 8 are still discussing mainly paragraph 14(b) which Mr. 9 Flowers is going to talk about -- explain further today. 10 But that pretty much pertains to providing documents to 11 experts and consultants. Currently, there are no trial 12 dates set in New Jersey. The second oldest litigation is the Florida 13 14 litigation. The first filing there was a case that my firm 15 filed in September of 2012. Since that time, there has been 16 additional filings. Currently, the only case at issue is 17 that initial filed case. There's motions to dismiss 18 pending, or no service yet on all of the other 20 cases. 19 A consolidation order was recently entered. The 20 case -- the consolidation was recently transferred to the 21 Complex Division. And I'm talking about Broward County. 2.2 There's also a Palm Beach County litigation. But, in 23 Broward County the case was recently transferred to the 24 Complex Division with Judge Henning. And we have the first 25 case management conference in front of Judge Henning on

December 11th.

1

2	Typically at those initial case management
3	conferences, we enter a scheduling order and even get a
4	trial date. The Complex Division in Florida moves very
5	quickly. The Judge makes herself available for special-set
6	hearings within a couple of weeks out. That's pretty much
7	where we're at in Broward County.
8	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: And I'll
9	probably reach out and give a call to her in the next week
10	or so.
11	MR. BERNHEIM: Thank you, Your Honor.
12	In Palm Beach, that's a more recent filing on
13	August 14th, 2013 by Mr. Osborne filed the initial case in
14	Palm Beach. He's also a member of the PSC.
15	The consolidation they've moved for a
16	consolidation. I don't believe the order has been entered
17	yet, but they were supposed to have the hearing actually
18	today, which from what I understood earlier this morning was
19	rescheduled.
20	Outside of Florida, there are two cases filed in
21	Massachusetts in Suffolk County by actually, a partner of
22	my law firm, Walter Kelly, filed two cases there. There's
23	been three filings in Oregon, two of them are by
24	Mr. Bowersox, who is also on the Plaintiffs' Steering
25	Committee. So, that's the update on the state litigation,

1 Your Honor. 2 THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE JUDGE NOEL: Can I raise 3 a question? 4 MR. BERNHEIM: Yes. 5 THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE JUDGE NOEL: You mentioned 20 cases in Florida. Was that just the Broward 6 7 County case or was that all of Florida? MR. BERNHEIM: I'm sorry. There's 21 cases filed 8 9 in Broward County, and I believe there's four cases filed in 10 Palm Beach, 4 or 5. 11 MS. WOODWARD: That's more or less correct. THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: In the 12 13 neighborhood, so to speak. 14 MS. WOODWARD: In the neighborhood. 15 MR. BERNHEIM: In the neighborhood. 16 THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE JUDGE NOEL: Also on the 17 list, Illinois? Do we have the number in Illinois? 18 MR. BERNHEIM: I believe it's one. 19 MS. WOODWARD: I have one, as well. 20 THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: And two in 21 Oregon. 2.2 THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE JUDGE NOEL: Two in 23 Oregon. 24 MR. BERNHEIM: Three in Oregon, Your Honors. 25 THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Three?

1	MR. BERNHEIM: Yes.
2	MS. WOODWARD: Three.
3	THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE JUDGE NOEL: What's the
4	total in New Jersey up to?
5	MS. WOODWARD: The New Jersey case count that I
6	have is 478 total, 445 of which have been served.
7	THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE JUDGE NOEL: Thank you.
8	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Just bear with
9	me one moment.
10	An issue and I thought of it because you
11	mentioned the and we do the same here on standalone
12	cases, set trial dates. And I would suggest firm trial
13	dates as opposed to those useless notices that in both some
14	State and Federal Courts you get a notice for especially a
15	civil case, you're number 17, which is kind of an insult to
16	lawyers and their clients.
17	But, on an MDL setting like this, one size doesn't
18	fit all, so we individualize the case. But, I'll think back
19	to my experience in one of my prior MDL's, the <i>Guidant</i> case
20	where quite early on maybe not quite this early we did
21	in fact set I think I mentioned this in chambers. By
22	agreement of counsel, we picked separate from the
23	selection process, because I'm kind of a strong believer in
24	the bellwether system in picking truly representative cases
25	for a bellwether trial. And then I think in that case as I

1	may have mentioned last time we were together, I think I
2	heard 18 Daubert motions, 32 summary judgment motions on
3	those 6 cases. And we had them set for 10-day trials,
4	back-to-back for one summer, by agreement of counsel.
5	THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE JUDGE NOEL: (Coughed.)
6	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: God bless you.
7	THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE JUDGE NOEL: Thank you.
8	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: What I'm
9	saying is, we will, of course, work with counsel. In that
10	case, they came up with six categories of settlement and
11	appeal process with Art Boylan and then Pat Juneau that the
12	parties picked to join him, and we took all cases in the
13	country. But, I think the key was we had these six
14	settlement ranges, you know, for example: Explant, without
15	complication, with complication; no removal or explant; and
16	the list goes on.
17	But, if we get to the point where earlier, rather
18	than later, we want to discuss date certain settings, but I
19	think it really then we will probably at some later time
20	talk about the whole bellwether system and what discovery is
21	needed. We have already talked in chambers a bit, separate
22	from that, about the expert and exemplar issue that will no
23	doubt come up again. But, you won't hear from the Court
24	here that: Well, we can't get to you by a certain date.
25	We'll give people whatever access you need, because that is

1	indeed the expectation of the MDL Panel, that we move with
2	some dispatch, but in fairness to all parties.
3	So, we'll take that up at a different time when
4	deemed appropriate by counsel. That may be something I'll
5	touch on also if I end up sending a letter out to all the
6	involved State Judges. I exchanged orders with we keep
7	each other up to date in New Jersey and here.
8	So, more than enough said by me on that issue.
9	But, anything else on updates by Defense or Plaintiffs'
10	counsel on the status of the State Court litigation?
11	MR. BERNHEIM: I failed to mention it. The lead
12	case in front of that is in front of Judge Crow in
13	Palm Beach.
14	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Okay.
15	MS. WOODWARD: Your Honor, I don't really have
16	anything to supplement in terms of the State Court cases.
17	We do appreciate Your Honors' offer to reach out to the
18	Florida State Court Judge in Broward County. And I believe
19	you've been provided with her contact information?
20	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: We have.
21	MS. WOODWARD: All right.
22	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: And that's why
23	I kind of assumed when I got that that maybe the expectation
24	was I should quite appropriately reach out, and separately
25	from that if I end up sending a letter out.

1	MS. WOODWARD: Yes, that would be appreciated.
2	And then as soon as we know who our Complex Judge will be,
3	or who it will be I don't know if it will be Judge Crow
4	in West Palm Beach. We'll provide that information to you,
5	as well.
6	MR. BERNHEIM: I don't believe there's a Complex
7	Division in Palm Beach, so I think it's going to stay with
8	Judge Crow. But, we have provided the Judge in Florida with
9	you and Judge Martinotti's contact information, Your Honor.
10	So she has that on file.
11	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Thank you.
12	MS. WOODWARD: That's all.
13	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Just maybe
14	relevant or not at this stage, when I've mentioned some of
15	the things I have about whether it's the bellwether system
16	or settlement issues, we sometimes in Guidant, and
17	Art Boylan, I had him do it more than I did; but, we would
18	travel to where the majority of the people were.
19	And I'll just indicate something that's entirely
20	premature, but one of the concerns, just so you know kind of
21	by way of context, one of the expectations by the MDL Panel,
22	consistent with some of the criticisms under the MDL process
23	is, well, the cases go on. And absent settlement or not,
24	then the cases that don't get resolved get sent back to the
25	District.

1 Well, most of us volunteer to take inter-circuit 2 assignments, and say: Well, depending on how far down the 3 road the cases are and their status, we'll say we will agree 4 to follow the case back. Because it would be unfair to the 5 local district or the judge to say: Well, here we are, and -- now, that takes input from the Chief Judge of each 6 7 Circuit in that District. But, we will worry about that. 8 But, I mean, we try to provide that continuity, because 9 there has been some legitimate criticism over the years of 10 this MDL process and how efficient it is. 11 So, we'll do our very best to live up to that. 12 All right? 13 Thank you, Your Honor. MS. WOODWARD: 14 MR. BERNHEIM: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Anything else 16 on that issue? 17 We can move on to the Protective Order issue. 18 Mr. Flowers? 19 MR. FLOWERS: Thank you, Your Honor. This issue I 20 think is a very important issue to all of us so that we can 21 begin discovery. We're ready, willing and able to start as 2.2 soon as possible on the documents that have been produced. 23 Based on our conversations with Mr. Campillo, it's my 24 understanding that the Defendant is in a position to turn 25 over the documents that have been produced in New Jersey.

1 And my understanding, as well, is that there is a November 25th production coming up with a substantial set of 2 3 documents which they're ready, willing and able to turn over 4 as long as we get the Protective Order issue out of the way. 5 The Defendant had originally proposed using the New Jersey Protective Order, we had two problems with that 6 7 Protective Order. Two problems that we suggested we simply 8 push down the road for you to ultimately make a decision on 9 if we cannot reach consensus. 10 So, I had provided a redlined version of the New 11 Jersey Order with some additional comments in it just to 12 make it abundantly clear what those two issues were. 13 Today I think we have an agreement that, in 14 essence, that order is okay, with the understanding that 15 these two issues will be addressed shortly. We're going to 16 meet and confer before the 19th. If we cannot reach an 17 agreement by then, we were going to suggest some sort of 18 briefing on those two issues. 19 THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Exactly. 20 MR. FLOWERS: But, I wanted to be clear that it's 21 the Order, essentially, that we submitted with -- because I 2.2 think it's important that we're clear on what those two 23 issues are. 24 THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: And I would 25 just note for the record, as all of the lawyers that were in

1 chambers knew, and you knew it before today, is we've been 2 provided a copy of that. And I heard -- not to sound like 3 Pollyanna in the Disney movie -- but I heard mostly positive 4 vibes back there from both counsel. Because the key here is 5 you're going to move forward without prejudice to either party, reserving your rights to have this exchange of 6 7 coverage. And if you can't work it out, we will make a decision, if not before, on the 19th of December. 8 9 But, it doesn't sound like -- why don't you come 10 on up, counsel? It doesn't sound like that's going to hold 11 up anything. To the credit of both sides, we're going to 12 move forward with the exchange of discovery. MR. FLOWERS: I would just add that I think what 13 14 we envisioned was, we're going to work up until the 19th. 15 If we can't reach an agreement by then, we'll bring it to 16 Because these two issues are not issues that are you. 17 pressing in order to proceed forward with the discovery. 18 MR. CAMPILLO: That's exactly right, Your Honor. 19 But, I wanted to clarify that the interim order which has 20 been signed by some of the Plaintiffs' lawyers in this 21 proceeding in order to participate in the New Jersey 2.2 depositions is the interim order that I think, to the extent 23 folks sign it now, they could get access to the documents 24 produced as we're moving forward currently. So, all of that 25 is available. It includes the production that's scheduled

for next week.

1

And then, again, without prejudice, to the extent that the Court ultimately rules on those two topics, two issues, which would impact, if anything, who the documents can be shared with outside of counsel. So, I think we can be moving forward here with the discovery effective immediately, as soon as people signed the interim order.

8 Those two topics are access to the confidential 9 documents to either competitors or consultants associated 10 with competitors of Stryker or Howmedica Osteonics Corp., or 11 sharing those documents with treating physicians, we've 12 asked the Court to be ruled upon at the appropriate time to 13 provide Defendants -- and these things go together without 14 adding a new topic here -- parameters for Plaintiffs' ex 15 parte contact with treating physicians, as well as access to 16 the Defendants to contact treating physicians. That's to be 17 decided later, but I wanted to be clear that that's 18 something we think is important and it will be part of this 19 access issue that is in some ways raised by the Protective 20 Order.

But, we're in agreement that if we can't resolve this by December 19th, we'll report to the Court at that time and hopefully have a briefing schedule established at that point for resolution soon thereafter, be it by briefs or letter briefs, or whatever. But, we can decide that on

the 19th.

1

2	MR. FLOWERS: Yeah, and that's relatively accurate
3	with the caveat that the only reason I asked for "our," the
4	version that we submitted in, is because it's crystal clear
5	on the record what those two issues are. Because the
6	interim order in New Jersey doesn't mention anything about
7	treating physicians. That's the reason why I wanted it in
8	there, so the record was crystal clear that this is an
9	issue. It has not been somehow waived in New Jersey.
10	That's it.
11	MR. CAMPILLO: That's understood.
12	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: I think you
13	both touched on those and focused right in on those in
14	chambers, as well. So, I think it's crystal clear.
15	MR. FLOWERS: Okay.
16	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: All right?
17	MR. FLOWERS: Yes. And then the next issue,
18	Your Honor, is the production of product exemplars. Again,
19	this is an issue that is important to us in building our
20	case. We need these exemplars to give to experts at the
21	early outset of the case, frankly even before oral discovery
22	begins, because that forms the basis of a lot of our oral
23	discovery.
24	We had previously submitted a list of the
25	exemplars that we wanted because this device comes in

1 multiple combinations. Per, previously, as well, the 2 Defendants indicated they were willing to produce these. We 3 understand now that the issue may be the extent of available 4 exemplars, meaning that there just aren't that many. And 5 they're concerned about what's going to happen in the future 6 if multiple jurisdictions arise and request them.

I think where we're at, overall, is they will provide us, hopefully today, the list of what they believe are available pristine exemplars. And then we will discuss meet and confer and see if we can figure out a solution to this problem. If we can't, it's something that we would like to address with the Court shortly.

13 MR. CAMPILLO: That is correct. We have agreed to 14 provide counsel with a list of the exemplars available. And 15 we do have concerns with competing court orders, orders that 16 exist and are in place that say we cannot destroy evidence. 17 So, we cannot at this point agree to any kind of destructive 18 testing, unilaterally, by the Plaintiffs or even jointly by 19 the parties in this proceeding until we have some more sort 20 of consideration of these issues and how they interplay as 21 between the various courts where Plaintiffs and Defendants 2.2 will need some sort of exemplar testing or analysis thereof. 23 So, we have agreed to produce the list so we can 24 try to at least minimize the issues under dispute and so 25 that we can move forward. But, I think ultimately there

1	will have to be something brought to the Court for
2	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Well, and
3	maybe I think Mr. Flowers was about to say something. By
4	the way, Judge Noel, I just had the Federal Court's
5	equivalent of Sametime or text messaging say, people have
6	called in to say they can hear the lawyers just fine, but
7	they assume the Judges are wandering from the microphone
8	because they can't hear us. So, we'll try to do better.
9	Maybe this is a topic that may or may not be
10	prudent to address. And if I send out a letter to the
11	just to say it's on the radar screen. Although, they can
12	see it on the website, too just stressing the importance
13	of coordinating that, nationwide. It is not the only issue,
14	but we can decide that as we zero down on the content of
15	letter to go out. But yes, I'll just confirm it's
16	consistent with what you've said in the status conference
17	earlier. All right?
18	MR. CAMPILLO: Thank you, Your Honor.
19	MR. FLOWERS: Thank you, Your Honor.
20	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: And as you
21	talk to one another, it's not an issue it usually comes
22	up in these types of cases, as you well know, the whole
23	exemplar issue. Next?
24	MR. FLOWERS: The next issue, Your Honor, is
25	Proposed Pretrial Order No. 6. I think we did reach

Г

1	agreement on that and submitted it to you
	agreement on that and submitted it to you.
2	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: And I have it
3	here. And absent any further discussion, I will sign that
4	today. We will get it filed, and on we go.
5	I didn't mention, you know, in one of the original
6	orders I don't have the number in hand I had asked
7	counsel for both sides to kind of work on a joint summary of
8	the case and the issues to put up on our website.
9	And so, hopefully, at some time in the future so
10	we can be transparent I see Ms. Fleishman coming up so
11	someone from afar can come online and say
12	MR. CAMPILLO: I think we have exchanged that
13	Your Honor, I'm sorry to interrupt
14	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: No, you
15	didn't.
16	MR. CAMPILLO: It's being exchanged. I think our
17	final draft is being sent to Plaintiffs' counsel.
18	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: All right, so
19	we're headed the all right. That's fine, thank you.
20	MR. FLOWERS: The next item is just a common
21	benefit order. The reason we put this on the agenda, Your
22	Honor, is this is something we want to get out of the way.
23	We intend on preparing the order the proposed order and
24	submitting it for the next status conference on the 19th of
25	December.

Г

1 THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: And I suspect 2 we'll have, whether I put it on the category of lessons 3 learned from a couple prior MDL's -- and I won't single out 4 a lawyer -- they're not involved in this case -- landing his 5 private jet down here at the St. Paul Airport. And I 6 happened to scrutinize the -- anyway, I will be more 7 careful. Most people do not do such things, but let's be 8 right up front.

9 That's been, again, one of the criticisms in 10 trying to move a case along and hold down costs of: Well, 11 are there some kind of agreed-upon -- and frankly speaking, 12 the good and experienced lawyers on cases well understand 13 the parameters, so we can keep trust and confidence in the 14 case moving along. But yes, any guidance we can give --

15 Now, I know there was an issue -- we don't need to 16 discuss it today and I don't need to create one where there 17 isn't one. And that issue was: Well, what impact, if any, 18 would a common benefit order have, for example, on cases in 19 New Jersey or elsewhere? And I don't know if that's 20 something being discussed. This isn't my way of trying to 21 bring this out now, because no one has contacted the Court. 2.2 I am not saying I'm aware of some issue; I'm not. 23 MR. FLOWERS: I think, Your Honor, in every 24 litigation it does potentially drive things, so that's why 25 we want to get it out of way. And I can also speak for --

1	there's no one on this PSC that has a private jet. I'm not
2	sure about Mr. Campillo, but
3	MR. CAMPILLO: He does not have a private yet.
4	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Well, a young
5	man I sentenced a few days ago standing where you're
6	standing now no offense, Mr. Flowers to 13 years and 8
7	months, or 12 years and 8 months. He had taken some of his
8	money from a lawyer friend and not only bought a jet, but
9	decided to leave the country and fake his death, and go to
10	Canada.
11	So, no, I wasn't trying to get an inventory of
12	private jets. And I won't know the lawyer's name I won't
13	say it, but if I said it, most of you in the room would
14	probably recognize the name. We'll leave that for another
15	day.
16	But, yeah, in all seriousness, that's an issue
17	that we'll work with you as much as possible, because then
18	there were I mean, let's get it right out in the open.
19	It's premature now, but in the <i>Guidant</i> case it wasn't
20	appealed, but that issue came up late in the case. And my
21	rulings speak for themselves on attorney fees and the effect
22	they had on the contingency agreements people had.
23	In most cases, those get worked out. So, I'm
24	confident that's going to be the case here, as well. But,
25	any obviously, any assistance we can give, we will give

Γ

1	it. So, the but, yes, I agree with you. It's usually an
2	issue in every case.
3	Does the defense want to step off the curb and
4	talk about
5	MR. CAMPILLO: I just want to be clear that I do
6	own I have a private bicycle, that's about it.
7	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Well, I can
8	probably make arrangements for you to park the bike
9	downstairs underneath.
10	Number 6?
11	MR. FLOWERS: Number 6, Your Honor, and number 7
12	kind of go hand-in-hand.
13	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: They do, yes.
14	MR. FLOWERS: We realize the timing of these are
15	important, meaning they need to be done here, quickly.
16	We have preliminarily reviewed disclosures and
17	fact sheets. We need to kind of reach a consensus and get
18	back to the defense, which we're planning on doing next
19	week.
20	Same issue with the master complaint and
21	short-form complaint. We would hope that this entire issue
22	will be handled and will be presented to you at the next
23	status conference, if not earlier.
24	MR. CAMPILLO: Just to clarify, I understood we
25	were going to try to get those things possibly handled by

1 the Court either next Wednesday's noon call, or soon 2 thereafter, if that's not practical. But, there is really no reason to wait until December to resolve these things. 3 4 Our position has been made pretty clear. We want to keep 5 consistency and continuity and follow at least the fact 6 sheet and the preliminary disclosures as used in New Jersey, which would work well. And I understand they're going to be 7 8 proposing something else.

9 But, for a number of reasons, including discussion 10 of exemplars and how many are relevant, all the way ranging 11 into possible case selections for mediations and things of 12 that nature, that information was provided by Plaintiffs in 13 the fact sheets, and preliminary disclosures are critical to 14 understanding what this litigation is about. So, that needs 15 to be resolved sooner, rather than later.

16 MS. FLEISHMAN: We agree it needs to be resolved. 17 We don't necessarily agree that all of the information in 18 those facts sheets is, A, necessary. And one thing I do 19 agree with Mr. Campillo on is these fact sheets are 20 important, not only for him, but also for us to assess what 21 the extent of the Plaintiffs' cases are. And that's what we 2.2 focused on and that's why we may have some tweaks to these 23 documents, as opposed to major changes. 24 THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Why don't

24 THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Why don't 25 we -- unless you have something additional on that,

1	Judge Noel, why don't we agree that at a minimum, that
2	absent some agreement, we will discuss this as an item next
3	Wednesday? And there may or may not be an agreement,
4	procedurally, about: Well, we want to make this submission
5	or that to the Court, or you got what you need, Judge, can
6	you drop the gavel and make a decision on the issues we
7	can't agree on?
8	We'll just agree that obviously, if you agree
9	on a timeline in other words, it sounds like in a perfect
10	world, you would like a decision, unless there's an
11	agreement, not necessarily waiting until December 19th.
12	We'll accommodate that. And we will just agree to discuss
13	it. And if there's no agreement either on a timeline or
14	we'll just set that up next Wednesday. So, everybody will
15	know, well, here's the date it's going to be decided if it's
16	not next Wednesday. Fair enough?
17	MR. CAMPILLO: Yes, Your Honor.
18	MR. FLOWERS: Yes, Your Honor.
19	The next thing on the agenda is the Aiken Motion
20	to Remand. I do not think that's a Plaintiffs' Steering
21	Committee issue.
22	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: No. And I'll
23	just note for the record, for anyone who is interested, I'm
24	hearing that at all the briefs have been submitted. I'm
25	hearing it at 11:00 today.

1 And even though it's true that if you were to 2 inquire whether in a non-MDL case any Federal Judge in a 3 dispositive motion in our District has allowed people to 4 make oral argument by either phone or on the big screen, the 5 answer is no. It's a little different issue in an MDL 6 context depending upon the issue. 7 So, it will be heard by the Court at 11:00 today, either in this courtroom, depending on -- they need about 8 9 15, 20 minutes so -- we have a back-up plan with our IT 10 people that we would move next door, but either way, I'll be 11 hearing that motion and either rule off the bench or we'll 12 have a ruling before Thanksgiving, if not off the bench. 13 And the issues there, to the extent anybody has 14 looked at, is either -- is both a motion to remand, and 15 both -- two issues, fraudulent joinder and misjoinder, 16 because that's the alternative position of the defense is: 17 Well, if you're going to not deny it, then it should -- the 18 Complaint should be severed and sent back, the health care 19 defendants, hospital defendants back to California. 20 So, that comes up at 11:00. And I would agree, 21 it's not a Lead Counsel Committee issue. Unless Defense 2.2 counsel wants to add anything to what I've said? 23 MR. CAMPILLO: No, Your Honor. I believe 24 Mr. Griffin will argue that at 11:00. 25 THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: I believe so.

1 All right. We can move on. 2 MR. FLOWERS: In item of the Agenda, 9 and 10, 3 proper parties and ex parte contacts with physicians are 4 really the Defendants' issues. I would say that we have 5 large disagreement on these two issues, although there's no briefing or actual issue before Your Honors as you sit there 6 7 today. MR. CAMPILLO: That's correct, Your Honor. I 8 9 believe that once the Master Complaint issues are resolved, 10 then we can chart forward what needs to be done regarding

11 proper parties. We don't need to deal with that today, but 12 we will in due course.

13 THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: All right.14 Unless anyone else wants to be heard on that?

15 THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE JUDGE NOEL: I have 16 nothing.

17 THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: You better18 speak up.

19 THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE JUDGE NOEL: I have 20 nothing further.

THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Number 10, which I think you may have touched on earlier, but I'll just make sure.

24 MR. CAMPILLO: Yeah, I think we'll deal with that 25 as part of the Protection Order discussion that we've

1 already addressed. And whether or not that needs to be 2 briefed remains to whether the parties can resolve it in the interim between now and December 19th. 3 4 MR. FLOWERS: Agreed. 5 THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Now, we have on here next status conference. 6 7 Right now we have that set consistent with the earlier orders for the 19th of December. And then I 8 9 promised the lawyers I would bring it up because of 10 potential scheduling conflict for some folks for January, so 11 we don't confuse it. So, it's 19th of December. January 12 23rd, the Court is contemplating moving it to, from the 24th 13 of January for the status conference here. And so, I would 14 just want to confirm with counsel, and we'll wait to hear 15 back from folks to say, you're going to make everyone 16 unavailable if you move to that January 23rd from the 24th. 17 Mr. Flowers? 18 MR. FLOWERS: That would be great, Your Honor, if 19 we could do that. I think our whole side is good with that. 20 We requested it and we appreciate it. 21 MR. CAMPILLO: And January 23rd is acceptable to 2.2 the Defendants. I just want to make one comment, 23 Your Honor. To the extent that -- and I don't mean for the 24 23rd of January -- but for any of the conferences there will 25 probably always be the three of us. But, if any one of us

1	cannot make it and the other two can, we will move forward.
2	So, I hope that's acceptable to the Court if one of us has
3	to miss for some unexpected reason.
4	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: That's
5	acceptable.
6	Well, so then I think what we'll do is go ahead
7	and set that for the 23rd day of January, now, and we'll put
8	that out on the website to give notice to everyone. And
9	then I'll ask the Plaintiffs, first. Are there other
10	issues, other than what we've discussed, where you would
11	say: Well, we have some issues that may be coming up that
12	we anticipate for December, to give everyone, not just the
13	Court, but other parties a heads-up on?
14	MR. FLOWERS: I would just say at this stage it's
15	going to be the common benefit order. If we're not done
16	with disclosures and fact sheets and the master complaint
17	and short-form complaint, those will be the issues that I
18	see right now.
19	The other issue that is always looming in any of
20	these cases is the whole ESI production issue. We don't
21	have any problems at that stage, but it's a constant issue
22	that we're dealing with.
23	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: It is, it is.
24	For the defense?
25	MR. CAMPILLO: Your Honor, we don't have anything

Г

1	else. I did want to just bring up one brief point. And
2	that is and I made this comment maybe not clearly enough
3	at the first status conference; that if this Court believes
4	or feels that having our lead lawyer from the New Jersey
5	state proceeding attend any or all of these conferences, we
6	could do that.
7	Ms. Woodward and I are involved in that
8	proceeding, so we didn't feel the need to have a designated
9	liaison counsel. But I just wanted the Court to know that
10	if there's ever any reason or need to have someone there
11	who's directly involved in that proceeding with
12	Judge Martinotti on a regular basis, we'd be happy to do so.
13	If there's ever an agenda item that calls for that, we can
14	comply.
15	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Do the
16	Plaintiffs have a strong view on that?
17	MR. FLOWERS: We don't. If there's an issue, we'd
18	ask; but I assume Mr. Campillo knows what's going on.
19	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: I would just
20	concur with what you said. And Judge Noel and I have talked
21	about it. And I think that if issues come up and like,
22	for example, I'll probably be in touch with a couple of the
23	State Court Judges in the next few days. And if something
24	would come up the roadway should run both ways. So, if
25	something comes up, whether it's a state coordination issue,

Г

1 or it's some other issues, as long as the other party knows 2 that someone has reached out to the Court saying if there's been some change in circumstance, obviously, we'll make 3 4 certain that we have access to the Court. Just because we 5 have these monthly status conferences, even though I find both them and the get-together in chambers very helpful, if 6 something changes and you say: Well, maybe we shouldn't 7 8 wait until the next status conference to let the Judge know 9 because they may want to reach out to this particular State 10 Court, like I'll call Judge Martinotti, probably, if not 11 today, tomorrow, or Monday just to reach out. And he does 12 the same for me. Like I called him, the last time, right 13 before his last case management conference in New Jersey. 14 So, we'll keep those lines of communication open. I will 15 reach out to the Florida Judge. 16 And then I will disburse, before you leave, we had 17 additional copies made of the letter I sent back in 2006 to 18 21 or 22 State Judges. And there may be reasons not to send the letter, or you may say, well, we can agree that these

19 the letter, or you may say, well, we can agree that these 20 topics should be discussed. I will wait to hear from 21 counsel on that issue. Because, frankly speaking, whether 22 it's an MDL or a non-MDL context, sometimes there's a 23 stereotype of Federal Judges and Courts. Well, they're just 24 going to come in and they don't care who they step on and 25 move forward. And hopefully, we won't behave or conduct

1 ourselves in that way. 2 So, other issues, anybody, on the Lead Counsel Committee for Plaintiffs, first, that they want to put in 3 4 front of the Court today? 5 MR. FLOWERS: I don't think so, Your Honor. THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Liaison 6 7 counsel, anything? MR. NEMO: Not that I can think of, Your Honor. 8 9 THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Anything for 10 the defense? 11 MS. WOODWARD: No, Your Honor. 12 THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Judge Noel? THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE JUDGE NOEL: 13 I have 14 nothing further. 15 THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Well, other 16 than our -- and then we'll know sometime before 11:00 17 whether they -- yesterday they couldn't make any of our 18 fancy screens work. 19 It will actually be my first oral argument on a 20 dispositive motion on the screen. We've had a couple of 21 witnesses testify there because it's piped into the sound 2.2 system here. And we'll -- but it will either be here, at 23 11:00, or next door. 24 The goal is here, and they need, because of the 25 time zone change with California, they were going to work

1 with them to make sure their system is up and operating, but 2 then that will be at 11:00. So, we'll be in touch, it 3 sounds like, as you exchange a summary to go up on the 4 website of the case, and then if there are other issues. 5 Otherwise, Brenda Schaffer will be in touch with you on just the mechanics of the conference if it's necessary for next 6 7 Wednesday at noon, Central Standard Time. 8 So, thanks everyone for coming to what's soon to 9 be cold Minnesota if you're not native here. And we are 10 adjourned until 11:00 for any of those of you who wish to 11 stay for that hearing. So, we're adjourned. Thank you. 12 MR. FLOWERS: Thank you, Your Honor. 13 MR. CAMPILLO: Thank you, Your Honor. 14 THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: By the way, 15 Happy Thanksgiving, everyone. Don't take this the wrong 16 way, but I hope at least some of you overeat or engage in 17 excess, because I know I will. And we'll see some of you at 18 11:00. All right. 19 (Adjournment.) 20 21 2.2 23 24 25

\* \* \* I, Jeanne M. Anderson, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. Certified by: s/ Jeanne M. Anderson Jeanne M. Anderson, RMR-RPR Official Court Reporter