1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA
2	
3	)
4	In Re: Baycol Products Litigation ) File No. MDL 1431
5	) ) 4:00 p.m. o'clock
	) June 19, 2002
6	) Minneapolis, MN
7	)
7	)
8	
9	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL J. DAVIS
,	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
10	(STATUS CONFERENCE)
11	
	APPEARANCES:
12	
13	ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF: CHARLES ZIMMERMAN, ESQ. ROBERT SHELQUIST, ESQ.
14	ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT: PETER SIPKINS, ESQ.
15	PHILIP BECK, ESQ. SUSAN WEBER, ESQ.
16	ADAM HOEFLICH, ESQ.
16	DOUGLAS MARVIN, ESQ. TRACY VAN STEENBURGH, ESQ.
17	
18	COURT REPORTER:
19	BRENDA E. ANDERSON, RPR
20	300 South 4th Street
20	Suite 1005 Minneapolis, MN 55415
21	(612) 664-5104
	E-mail - BAnder2400@aol.com
22	
23	
24	
∠+	
25	

1	THE CLERK: Multi-District Litigation No. 1431,
2	In re: Baycol Products. Please state your appearances for
3	the record.
4	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Charles Zimmerman, Your Honor,
5	for the Plaintiffs Steering Committee.
6	MR. SHELQUIST: Rob Shelquist for the Plaintiffs,
7	Your Honor.
8	MR. BECK: Philip Beck for the Defendants, Your
9	Honor.
10	MR. SIPKINS: Peter Sipkins for the Defendants,
11	Your Honor.
12	MS. WEBER: Susan Weber for the Defendants, Your
13	Honor.
14	MR. HOEFLICH: Adam Hoeflich for the Defendants,
15	Your Honor.
16	MR. MARVIN: Douglas Marvin for the Defendants.
17	MS. VAN STEENBURGH: Tracy Van Steenburgh for the
18	Defendants.
19	THE COURT: Good afternoon. Mr. Zimmerman.
20	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Pursuant
21	to the Court's order of last week, we have met and
22	conferred, and we have come up with an agreed schedule of
23	dates, witnesses and locations for the June and July
24	deposition program. That modifies slightly and modifies in
25	several ways the previous order. We are prepared to submit

1 that to Your Honor.

2	With regard to August and September, we are
3	asking that that part of the order simply be stayed, not
4	vacated at this time, and, again, directing the parties to
5	meet and confer with respect to the August and September
6	schedule. We believe we will be able to reach a similar
7	kind of agreement that we reached today with regard to June
8	and July, and then we will submit it to the Court on or
9	before July 10th for your review and approval. We
10	appreciate everyone's efforts in reaching this agreed order
11	today.
12	THE COURT: Mr. Beck.
13	MR. BECK: I concur in Mr. Zimmerman's remarks.
14	We were able to reach an agreement, and I think we've
15	accommodated everyone's concerns while still making sure
16	that we don't alienate any state court Judges, and the
17	state court Plaintiffs' lawyers are also accommodated. So,
18	I think we've come up with a workable schedule, and we
19	appreciate the cooperation that we got in doing this.
20	THE COURT: Anything else that's on the schedule.
21	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Just I think we have a
22	proposed order that I believe that has been handed up or
23	will be.
24	THE COURT: No, I haven't seen it.
25	MR. ZIMMERMAN: If you have any questions about

3

1	it, we certainly can answer them, and we're happy to.
2	The only other issue, Your Honor, is the Court
3	did hand us this morning this afternoon Pretrial Order
4	No. 26, which is the setting of the status conference in
5	New York excuse me, in Los Angeles, California for July
6	11th. I trust that we can this will be posted on the
7	website and we can distribute this to all concerned
8	parties. But the only question I have with regard to that
9	is whether or not there is going to be any attempt to
10	coordinate this proceeding at all with the proceeding in
11	California, or is that just something that may or may not
12	occur based upon events that might take place with the
13	Court's communication. What I'm really asking in so many
14	words is whether or not state counsel or the state courts
15	are going to be involved in all of these proceedings on the
16	11th.
17	THE COURT: The Court is having a status
18	conference in Los Angeles based on conversations that I had
19	in New Orleans with Plaintiffs' counsel from California. I
20	am flying out on the 9th and I plan to meet I'll try to
21	meet with the state court Judge or Judges that have Baycol
22	matters to introduce myself to them at that time on the
23	10th. Also, I will meet with the Plaintiffs' lawyers,
24	state court lawyers, and I'm assuming that you will we
25	can talk about this in chambers, but I'm assuming that you

- 1 will arrange those. And if there is any defense counsel
- 2 that I need to meet, I will meet them out on the 10th, too,
- 3 so I will have a full day on the 10th.
- 4 At 9:30 we will have our status conference and

5 then we will have motions that are ready to be heard and be

- 6 heard, and we will adjourn by 12 noon and I will be on a
- 7 plane back here in the afternoon.
- 8 MR. ZIMMERMAN: Your Honor, the --
- 9 THE COURT: So you will be alerted that we will
- 10 not have a status conference in August. I'm having my
- 11 clerk of court set up one in Philadelphia in September, the
- 12 September date. And, of course, well have conversations of
- 13 one in Texas at some point, whether or not to meet with the
- 14 Judges down there or to have a status conference. That has
- 15 not been firmed up yet.
- 16 MR. ZIMMERMAN: Very good. I don't have anything
- 17 further on the record, Your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Mr. Beck.
- 19 MR. BECK: We have nothing further either, Your
- 20 Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: All right. What I would like to do
- is to confer in chambers, and then I believe we can get you
- 23 on a plane and out of here. Step back in chambers.
- 24
- 25

1	<b>REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE</b>
2	I, Brenda E. Anderson, Official Court Reporter,
3	in the United States District Court for the District of
4	Minnesota, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript
5	is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings in the
б	above-entitled matter.
7	
8	
9	CERTIFIED:
10	
11	
12	
13	Brenda E. Anderson, RPR
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	