1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA 2 3 ) In Re: Fluoroquinolone ) File No. 15M Products Liability Litigation ) (JRT) ) File No. 15MD2642 4 ) 5 Minneapolis, Minnesota ) 6 May 31, 2017 ) 2:40 P.M. ) 7 ) 8 ) 9 BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHIEF JUDGE JOHN R. TUNHEIM 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT (STATUS CONFERENCE) 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 KRISTINE MOUSSEAU, CRR-RPR 19 Court Reporter: 1005 U.S. Courthouse 300 South Fourth Street 20 Minneapolis, MN 55415 21 22 23 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; 24 transcript produced by computer. 25

1	For the Plaintiffs:	Lockridge Grindal Nauen PLLP YVONNE FLAHERTY, ESQ.
2		100 Washington Avenue South Suite 2200
3		Minneapolis, MN 55401
4		Baron & Budd, P.C. RUSSELL BUDD, ESQ.
5		THOMAS M. SIMS, ESQ. 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue
6		Suite 1100 Dallas, TX 75219
7		
8		Andrus Wagstaff, P.C. DAVID J. WOOL, ESQ. (PHONE) 7171 W. Alaska Drive
9		Lakewood, CO 80226
10		Nidel Law, PLLC CHRISTOPHER NIDEL, ESQ.
11		(PHONE) 2002 Massachusetts Ave, NW
12		Washington, DC 20036
13		Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz
14		R. JASON RICHARDS, ESQ. (PHONE)
15		17 East Main Street Suite 200
16		Pensacola, FL 32502-5998
17		Thomas Law Offices JOHN ABARAY, ESQ. (PHONE)
18		239 South Fifth Street Louisville, KY 40202
19		
20		Herman Gerel, LLP ANDREA S. HIRSCH, ESQ. (PHONE) 230 Peachtree Street NW
21		Suite 2260 Atlanta, GA 30303
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	For the Plaintiffs:	Sanders Phillips Grossman, LLC RANDI KASSAN, ESQ. (PHONE)
2		2860 Michelle Drive Suite 220
3		Irvine, CA 92606
4		Sill Law Group, PLLC MATTHEW J. SILL, ESQ.
5		KATIE EIDSON GRIFFIN, ESQ. 14005 North Eastern Avenue
6		Edmond, OK 73013
7		Gomez Trial Attorneys KRISTEN BARTON, ESQ. (PHONE)
8		LINDSEY R. STEVENS, ESQ. (PHONE)
9		655 West Broadway Suite 1700
10		San Diego, CA 92101
11		Cline, Farrell, Christie & Lee KATHY ANN LEE, ESQ. (PHONE)
12		951 North Delaware Street Indianapolis, IN 46202
13		MARK DIAO, ESQ. (PHONE)
14		BARBARA NEVIN, ESQ. (PHONE)
15		,,,,,
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1		
2	For Defts Bayer and Merck:	Arnold Porter Kaye Scholer LLP ANDREW K. SOLOW, ESQ.
3		LORI B. LESKIN, ESQ. 250 West 55th Street
4		New York, NY 10019
5		Faegre Baker Daniels LLP CICELY R. MILTICH, ESQ.
6		90 South Seventh Street Suite 2200
7		Minneapolis, MN 55402
8	For Defts Teva and Cobalt:	
9		MICHAEL SUFFERN, ESQ. (PHONE) 600 Vine Street
10 11		Suite 2800 Cincinnati, OH 45202
11		
12		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

SE 0:15-md-02642-JRT Document 436 Filed 06/12/17 Page 5 of 18	[
2:40 P.M.	
(In open court.)	
THE COURT: You may be seated. Good afternoon.	
I apologize for the delay getting started here. Too many	
things on the schedule today.	
This is multi district litigation 15-2642, In Re:	
Fluoroquinolone Products Liability Litigation.	
Let's have plaintiffs' counsel present note their	•
appearances.	
MS. FLAHERTY: Good afternoon, Your Honor.	
Yvonne Flaherty for plaintiffs.	
THE COURT: Ms. Flaherty.	
MR. BUDD: Good afternoon. Russell Budd for	
plaintiffs.	
THE COURT: Mr. Budd.	
MS. NEVIN: Barbara Nevin.	

16 THE COURT: Mr MS. NEVIN: Barbara Nevin. 17 MR. SIMS: Good afternoon. Thomas Sims for 18 19 plaintiffs.

20 THE COURT: All right. And on the phone I heard 21 Ms. Nevin. 22 Who else is on the phone for the plaintiff? 23 MR. WOOL: This is David Wool from Andrus 24 Wagstaff. 25 MS. KASSAN: Randi Kassan from Sanders Phillips

```
1
       Grossman.
2
                 MS. HIRSCH: Andrea Hirsch, Herman Gerel, for the
 3
       plaintiffs.
 4
                 MS. GRIFFIN: Katie Griffin.
 5
                 THE COURT: Ms. Griffin, go ahead.
6
                 MS. GRIFFIN: Katie Griffin with the Sill Law
 7
       Firm for plaintiffs.
 8
                 MS. STEVENS: Lindsey Stevens from Gomez Trial
9
       Attorneys for plaintiffs.
10
                 MR. NIDEL: Chris Nidel on behalf of the
11
       plaintiffs.
12
                 THE COURT: Say that again, please.
                 MR. NIDEL: It is Chris Nidel on behalf of
13
14
       plaintiffs.
15
                 THE COURT: Okay.
16
                 MR. ABARAY: John Abaray from Thomas Law Offices
17
       on behalf of plaintiffs.
18
                 MS. BARTON: Kristen Barton on behalf of the
19
       plaintiffs.
20
                 MS. LEE: This is Kathy Lee on behalf of Dirk
21
       Nation for plaintiffs.
22
                 MR. RICHARDS: Jason Richards for the plaintiffs.
23
                 THE COURT: All right. Anyone else?
24
                 MR. SUFFERN: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
25
       Michael Suffern on behalf of Teva Canada Limited and Cobalt
```

1	Laboratories LLC. I represent them in one member action.
2	The name of the case is Achman.
3	THE COURT: All right. Anybody else on the
4	phone?
5	All right. Defendants here in the courtroom?
6	MS. MILTICH: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Cicely
7	Miltich on behalf of Bayer.
8	MS. LESKIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Lori
9	Leskin on behalf of Bayer.
10	MR. SOLOW: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Andrew
11	Solow on behalf of the Bayer defendants.
12	THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon to all
13	three of you.
14	Okay. We have a relatively short agenda today,
15	it looks like. The Court did receive the proposed order
16	for dismissal of three cases that were part of the April
17	4th order to show cause, and I'm presuming there is no
18	objection from the plaintiffs on that?
19	MR. SIMS: Correct, Your Honor. No objection.
20	THE COURT: Okay. The Court will file that this
21	afternoon.
22	MS. LESKIN: Thank you, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: All right. So status of litigation.
24	Mr. Sims?
25	MR. SIMS: Thank you, Your Honor. We have

1	approximately 260 cases involving Bayer or a combination of
2	Bayer and Johnson & Johnson. That includes around four
3	that are making their way here from the JPML.
4	THE COURT: Four?
5	MR. SIMS: Correct, Your Honor. That's our
6	latest count. With respect to the Philadelphia state court
7	litigation, I understand the Court had a chance to speak
8	with Judge Younge.
9	THE COURT: I did.
10	MR. SIMS: The parties have not had a chance to
11	talk to Judge Younge directly, but we have been
12	communicating with his clerk, and he has indicated that
13	Judge Younge has kindly agreed to give us some more time,
14	but due to constraints on Judge Younge's schedule, that
15	additional amount of time will still put us going to trial
16	before the MDL trial is currently set.
17	So because we understand the goal, of course, is
18	for the MDL trials to proceed first, what I have done and
19	what Mr. Solow and I have done together, is work on a
20	proposal to essentially reset those Philadelphia state
21	court cases, and there is a couple of ways we're going to
22	go about doing that, depending on whether or not they are a
23	Philadelphia resident or a New Jersey resident.
24	But at the end of the day, the goal is to
25	essentially dismiss and re-file those cases, either in

Г

1	state court or potentially in federal court. Because of a
2	recent change in principal address on behalf of one of the
3	Bayer entities, we believe federal court may be a viable
4	option now for some of these folks.
5	THE COURT: All right.
6	MR. SIMS: However, we are in the process of
7	reaching out to the various plaintiffs. My firm represents
8	a number of them, and some of the others are represented by
9	other PSC firms to get their consent. We have reached the
10	vast majority of these folks, and we obtained consent from
11	the vast majority of these folks, but there is one
12	plaintiff who wishes to stay in state court and not dismiss
13	her case. So it looks like that particular plaintiff will
14	proceed to trial.
15	There is another plaintiff who has told me that

16 she is leaning towards agreeing to the dismissal, but I 17 just haven't gotten final authority from her. I believe 18 the rest will all agree to this approach, and that would 19 essentially reset the clock on those and take the burden 20 off of Judge Younge's docket, but we will, it looks like, 21 have one case that will proceed to trial, most likely in 22 the spring of next year under the schedule we're 23 anticipating.

24THE COURT: Yeah, I understood from Judge Younge25a desire to work real closely with the MDL in terms of

1	timing on everything. His major problem was the need to
2	get matters completed in time for the end of his segment,
3	whatever they call it in Philadelphia, so he doesn't pass
4	it along to the next judge was his concern.
5	MR. SIMS: Right.
6	THE COURT: Which I believe was the end of next
7	year, I believe, if I'm correct about that.
8	MR. SIMS: Okay.
9	THE COURT: Mr. Solow?
10	MR. SOLOW: Your Honor, I just want to clarify
11	two things. One, Mr. Sims, I think, just misspoke. To be
12	clear, Bayer Corporation, the Pennsylvania resident, has
13	changed its principal place of business under the removal
14	standard and statute. So we believe those cases could now
15	be removed, not as Mr. Sims incorrectly stated about the
16	address.
17	And then the last thing is, everything Mr. Sims
18	has stated is correct. We still have not yet been in front
19	of Judge Younge. We will move to get the additional time
20	that Judge Younge has signaled that he would provide to us,
21	but I think both sides have agreed to disagree for now and
22	see how things play out.
23	And hopefully maybe Judge Younge, from our
24	perspective, will reconsider with a smaller docket. We'll
25	see where things stand as we move forward on the schedule

1	and whether in fact that first maybe one or two trials
2	proceed before the August trial in 2018 before Your Honor,
3	but we have agreed to see how that plays out.
4	THE COURT: All right.
5	MR. SOLOW: Thank you.
6	THE COURT: All right. Sounds good. Excellent.
7	That's good.
8	Okay. We have talked about the order to show
9	cause. Anything else on the order that we need to talk
10	about? I mean, we will file the order of dismissal as
11	requested, but anything else about the order to show cause?
12	MS. LESKIN: No, Your Honor.
13	MR. SIMS: No, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: All right. Discovery? I guess we've
15	got service of Bayer Pharma AG.
16	MR. SOLOW: Your Honor, just this afternoon we
17	have reached an agreement with the PSC on a proposed order
18	for the waiver of service for the foreign entity that is
19	named in the case, Bayer Pharma AG. Plaintiffs have gone
20	ahead and proceeded through the Hague Convention for
21	service, and our client has now agreed to, consistent with
22	what that draft is, we will get that submitted to the Court
23	hopefully by the end of the week, now that we have just
24	reached an agreement on it.
25	THE COURT: All right. Excellent. Okay,

Г

1	Mr. Budd?
2	Okay. Discovery, how are we coming?
3	MR. SIMS: Your Honor, slowly but well. We have
4	taken four depositions so far of defense witnesses. They
5	in turn have taken four plaintiff bellwether depositions,
6	and in addition to that, typically the spouse or a
7	significant other.
8	We have two additional defense witnesses set for
9	deposition, one in June and one in July, and then there are
10	four other plaintiff bellwether depositions that are
11	currently scheduled to be completed over the coming month.
12	There is a request for the last two plaintiff bellwether
13	depositions for dates. So we are working on getting those.
14	That's it in terms of what is scheduled. We're
15	going to talk a little bit about the schedule in the next
16	item. We do anticipate taking a number of more, additional
17	defense employee or former employee depositions, and then
18	we believe the next phase in bellwether discovery is to
19	look at the physicians, and so presumably we will start
20	scheduling those.
21	On the document front, we have completed or Bayer
22	has completed the first, we'll call it, the first round of
23	custodial productions, and we are now working on round two,
24	which has two components. U. S. based employees of the
25	U. S. entity, and we submitted that list of additional

1	custodians back in March, and Bayer has agreed to produce
2	those custodial files by July 12th.
3	And then there was a list of non U. S. based
4	custodians, those with the German entity, and that
5	production will begin on June 12th. We don't have a
6	commitment yet for when it will end, but we are told the
7	first round, the first wave, will start on June 12th.
8	We do anticipate a need to depose some of the non
9	U. S. based employees, and we will begin to work with a
10	schedule with Bayer on where and when those can take place.
11	Given the summer schedule in Europe, it will probably be
12	more likely at the end of the summer, beginning of fall,
13	and that's it on discovery, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Solow, do you have
15	anything on discovery?
16	MR. SOLOW: Nothing to add. That covers
17	everything, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: All right. Good. Thank you.
19	Okay. Scheduling orders?
20	MR. BUDD: Your Honor, we have an agreed proposal
21	for amending PTO 13
22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	MR. BUDD: $$ on the bellwether schedule, and it
24	also references an amendment to PTO 5. The most important
25	change is probably on changing the dates for the discovery

1	cutoff. It was the end of July for Avelox, September for
2	Cipro, and it is now in this proposal at the end of
3	October. The proposal doesn't change the trial setting
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	MR. BUDD: calendar, though. The first trial
6	setting remains August 2018 for Avelox.
7	MS. LESKIN: Yeah, I would just, I would just
8	add, Your Honor, we, we moved the nonexpert discovery
9	deadline as against Bayer and the Bayer defendants. We
10	also moved some of the internal deadlines for the
11	bellwethers, but as you have heard, we are moving forward
12	on both fronts, and I think with the new schedule we will
13	still be able to keep those trial dates.
14	THE COURT: Okay. So are you submitting a draft
15	revised PTO order then?
16	MR. BUDD: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Will we need to revise 5 as well?
18	MS. LESKIN: So the proposal is a second
19	amendment pretrial order number 13, but includes a
20	paragraph that references the two relevant paragraphs from
21	5. So we don't need a separate 5 amendment.
22	THE COURT: All right. Good. We will take care
23	of that as soon as you get it in.
24	MS. LESKIN: Thank you.
25	THE COURT: All right. Anything else beyond

1	scheduling additional status conferences?
2	MR. BUDD: No.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Let's look at July. Is there
4	discovery going on in the Twin Cities where some of you
5	would be here anyway for that or not?
6	MR. SIMS: No, Your Honor, and we are actually
7	thinking of maybe trying to look at that last week in June,
8	skip July and then start back up in the first week of
9	August. I don't know if that works with the Court's
10	schedule.
11	One date we had looked at was June 28th.
12	THE COURT: We have got a trial Monday and
13	Tuesday in Duluth, our Duluth courthouse.
14	MR. SIMS: Maybe the afternoon of the 28th.
15	THE COURT: Wednesday we probably can do that.
16	That hearing we might be able to fit into Tuesday or
17	couldn't they do it, the hearing you set on Wednesday
18	morning.
19	THE CLERK: I didn't offer Tuesday because I
20	didn't know if trial was going to continue.
21	THE COURT: Why don't we try to put that in
22	sometime Tuesday? They might have to move around depending
23	on where the trial is at at the time, but let's offer the
24	Tuesday afternoon.
25	THE CLERK: I set another hearing at 11:00 on

1 Wednesday. It's not on there yet. The parties just 2 agreed. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 THE CLERK: Changes of plea. 5 THE COURT: Well, later in the day. I will be 6 back for sure that day because I've got to be at a dinner 7 that is at 6:00. So I mean we probably could be back, even 8 if we had the eleven o'clock hearing, we could be back for 9 a three o'clock hearing that afternoon, unless we have a 10 jury deliberating. That's the only issue. 11 MR. SIMS: Okay. THE COURT: It's a criminal case, too, so I have 12 13 to stay. 14 MR. SIMS: We could always go to Duluth, Your 15 Honor. 16 MS. LESKIN: Since we're flying in anyway, we 17 could travel to Duluth if that would make it easier for 18 Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: We certainly could do that. We could 20 do a one o'clock or 1:30 in Duluth that day. 21 MS. LESKIN: Yeah. 22 THE COURT: It shouldn't be snowing that day, 23 so --24 MS. MILTICH: I love Duluth. 25 MR. SIMS: We defer, of course, to the Court, but

1	3:00 p.m. here would be the ideal option, but again, we
2	could make Duluth work.
3	THE COURT: The only issue will be if the jury is
4	deliberating. I don't really expect it in this particular
5	case, but let's, let's for now set it for three o'clock
6	here because I do have to come back anyway, you know. If
7	they're not done, we're just going to have to go back.
8	So let's set it for three o'clock on the 28th.
9	Is that okay, Heather?
10	THE CLERK: That should be fine.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	MR. SIMS: For August, we were going to propose
13	perhaps August 1st or 2nd.
14	THE COURT: On the 2nd, I will be at an Eighth
15	Circuit chief judges meeting, which is unfortunately not
16	anywhere nearby. It is in Little Rock. The 1st, what do
17	you think? We can try the 1st in the afternoon. Travel is
18	not set yet.
19	MR. SIMS: 2:00 p.m. on the 1st?
20	THE COURT: Let's do 2:00 p.m. on the 1st.
21	MR. SIMS: Thank you, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: All right. Anything else for today?
23	MR. SOLOW: No, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Again. I apologize for the delay,
25	and we will be in recess until our next scheduled

KRISTINE MOUSSEAU, CRR-RPR (612) 664-5106

Г

1	conference.
2	Thank you.
3	THE CLERK: All rise.
4	(Court was adjourned.)
5	* * *
6	I, Kristine Mousseau, certify that the foregoing
7	is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in
8	the above-entitled matter.
9	
10	
11	
12	Certified by: <u>s/ Kristine Mousseau, CRR-RPR</u> Kristine Mousseau, CRR-RPR
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	